

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAJI PCHALY

THURSDAY, THE 8TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2015/16TH ASWINA, 1937

R.PNo. 847 of 2015 IN WA.1858/2013

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WA 1858/2013 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA DATED 19-08-2015

REVIEW PETITIONER/APPELLANT:

UNION OF INDIA
REPRESENTED BY THE DEPUTY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS, FREEDOM FIGHTERS DIVISION
LOK NAYAK BHAWAN, KHAN MARKET, NEW DELHI-110 001.

BY ADV. SRI.S.KRISHNAMOORTHY, CGC

RESPONDENTS:

1. ELIZABATH SIPRI,
W/O.LATE VARKEY SIPRI, KURUSINKAL VEEDU, KATTOORMURI
KATOOR PO, ALAPPUZHA-688 522.
2. STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
GENERAL ADMINISTRATION (FFA) DEPARTMENT
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.

R BY SR. GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.P.FAZIL

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 08-10-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC & SHAJI P.CHALY, JJ.

**R.P.No.847 of 2015 in
W.A.No.1858 of 2013**

Dated this the 8th day of October, 2015

ORDER

Antony Dominic, J.

Heard the counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the pleadings.

2. Judgment under review itself show that the contentions urged have been dealt with. Reading of the grounds of the review petition show that the contentions now urged in the petition were not urged at the time when the appeal was heard. Therefore, what is now attempted is the rehearing of the appeal on merits, which is impermissible in review petition.

3. We do not see any ground to review the judgment which have been rendered in the peculiar facts of the case.

Review petition is dismissed accordingly.

**ANTONY DOMINIC
JUDGE**

**SHAJI P. CHALY
JUDGE**

jes