

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

WEDNESDAY, THE 21ST DAY OF OCTOBER 2015/29TH ASWINA, 1937

RP.No. 842 of 2015 IN WP(C) NO.24311/2015

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C) NO.24311/2015 OF THE HIGH COURT OF
KERALA DATED 11.08.2015

REVIEW PETITIONER(S)/RESPONDENT IN WPC:

THE SECRETARY
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
MALAPPURAM, PIN 676 505

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.SOJAN JAMES

RESPONDENT(S)/PETITIONER IN WPC:

SULAIMAN
S/O. SAIDALAVI, KUNDOOKKARA HOUSE
PADINHATTUMURI P.O.,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT, PIN 676 506

BY SRI.SAJU J.VALLYARA

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
21-10-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J.

R.P. No. 842 of 2015

in

W.P.(C) No. 24311 of 2015

Dated this the 21st day of October, 2015

ORDER

By the impugned judgment, this Court has given the following directions;

"1) The respondent is directed to consider Ext.P1 application for regular permit within a period of one month.

2) The respondent shall consider Ext.P3 application for temporary permit and pass positive orders on the same, pending consideration of Ext.P1 regular permit application, within a period of 2 weeks from today, taking into account the forthcoming Onam festival season, if the same is otherwise in order."

2. The direction was necessitated when the application for regular permit was pending before the authority concerned. What is stated in the review petition is that if the temporary permit is granted with proposed timings as directed, it may adversely affect the other stage carriages as there is a private stage carriage operating in very two minutes and the KSRTC is operating in every ten minutes.

As there is no error apparent on the face of the record calling for a review, the review petition is dismissed.

Sd/-

**A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE**

bka/21.10.15

//True copy//

PA to Judge