

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 30TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/8TH ASWINA, 1937

RP.No. 731 of 2015 (E) IN WP(C).23643/2015

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 23643/2015 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA DATED

REVIEW PETITIONER(S):

1. K.C.PARAMESWARAN AGED 78 YEARS
S/O.CHANDRAN, KOTTADAYIL HOUSE, NETTOOR P.O
682 040.
2. SONIA RAJEEV
W/O.RAJEEV, AKBALATHUNKAL HOUSE, EROOR SOUTH P.O
TRIPUNITHURA 682 306.

BY ADVS.SRI.S.SREEKUMAR (SR.)
SRI.P.MARTIN JOSE
SRI.M.A.MOHAMMED SIRAJ
SRI.P.PRIJITH
SRI.THOMAS P.KURUVILLA

RESPONDENT(S):

1. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, CIVIL STATION
KAKKANADU, ERNAKULAM.
2. THE VILLAGE OFFICER
NADAMA VILLAGE, TRIPUNITHURA.
3. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF SURVEY
CIVIL STATION, KAKKANADU.
4. THE ADDITIONAL TAHSILDAR
TALUK SURVEY OFFICE, KANAYANNUR TALUK
ERNAKULAM KOCHI 682 011.

R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT A LOWSY

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 30-09-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J

R.P No.731 of 2015

in

W.P(C) No. 23643 of 2015

Dated this the 30th day of September, 2015

ORDER

The review is filed on the ground that though a direction is issued to the 4th respondent, in fact the 3rd respondent has to consider the same and that the representation pending before the 3rd respondent is Ext.P6. In such circumstance, the directions in the judgment shall be to the 3rd respondent to consider Ext.P6 representation, as expeditiously as possible at any rate, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this Order.

Review Petition allowed.

SD/-

(K. VINOD CHANDRAN, JUDGE)

jma

//true copy//

P.A to Judge