

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. CHITAMBARESH

WEDNESDAY, THE 12TH DAY OF AUGUST 2015/21ST SRAVANA, 1937

RP.No. 696 of 2015 () IN WP(C).10052/2015

JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 10052/2015 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA DATED 07-07-2015

REVIEW PETITIONER/4TH RESPONDENT:

ANIL JOSE, JOSE VILLA, KOTTANKUZH, KATTAKKODU, KATTAKADA.P.O., THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.

BY ADV. SRI.G.S.REGHUNATH

RESPONDENTS/PETITIONER & RESPONDENTS 1 TO 3:

1. AHAMMED KABEER, AGED 54 YEARS, S/O.ABDUL RAHMAN, T.C.67/403/C, MANICKYAVILAKAM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 026.
2. THE KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION, TRANSPORT BHAVAN, FORT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR - 695 001.
3. THE DISTRICT TRANSPORT OFFICER, KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION, KATTAKADA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.
4. THE ASSISTANT TRANSPORT OFFICER, KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION, KATTAKADA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.

BY SRI.S.SHANAVAS KHAN
BY SRI.M.GOPIKRISHNAN NAMBIAR, SC, KSRTC

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 12-08-2015, ALONG WITH RP NO. 697/2015 THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

V. CHITAMBARESH, J

R.P. NO. 696 OF 2015
IN
WP(C) NO. 10052 OF 2015
&
R.P. NO. 697 OF 2015
IN
WP(C) NO. 8319 OF 2015

Dated this the 12th day of August, 2015

ORDER

Ext.R4(c) proceedings dated 18.03.2015 relied on by the review petitioner were issued in violation of the interim order dated 17.03.2015 in WP(C) No. 8319/2015. This was precisely why contempt of court proceedings were initiated against the officials of the Kerala State Road Transport Corporation in COC No. 477/2015. The Kerala State Road Transport Corporation took a specific stand that the period of auction is only for the period from 23.11.2013 to 23.11.2015. The judgment in WP(C) Nos. 8319/2015 and 10052/2015 was passed on the basis of consensus of the parties.

2. The Contempt of Court case was even withdrawn for the purpose of disposal of the writ petitions in the manner indicated in the judgment dated 07.07.2015. A review petition

R.P. Nos. 696 & 697 of 2015

by the fourth respondent in the writ petitions through another Counsel cannot be appreciated on the eve of fresh tender. There is no error apparent on the face of the record warranting review of the common judgment.

The Review Petitions are dismissed.

**V. CHITAMBARESH
JUDGE**

ncd