

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE**

MONDAY, THE 17TH DAY OF AUGUST 2015/26TH SRAVANA, 1937

RP.No. 694 of 2015 () IN WA.1979/2014

**AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT IN WA 1979/2014 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA
DATED 20-05-2015**

**AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 31720/2013 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA
DATED 11/7/2014**

PETITIONER/APPELLANT:

**DR. N. SUKUMARAN
SREEPADMAM, KURUPPANKULANGARA.P.O, CHERTHALA
ALAPPUZHA-688551.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.K.BABU RAJAN
SRI.K.JALADHARAN
SRI.TA.SREE KUMAR**

RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS:

- 1. THE TRAVANCORE COCHIN MEDICAL COUNCIL
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR, RED-CROSS ROAD
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695035.**
- 2. THE UNION OF INDIA,
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY
MINISTRY OF HEALTH & FAMILY WELFARE, SOUTH BLOCK
NEW DELHI-110001.**
- 3. THE CENTRAL COUNCIL OF HOMEOPATHY,
REPRESENTED BY ITS PRESIDENT, NEW DELHI-110001.**
- 4. THE STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY, MINISRY OF HEALTH
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695035.**

**BY SRI.N.NAGARESH, ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL
BY SR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.P.IDAVIS
BY SRI.N.RAGHURAJ, SC, TCMC & KNMC**

**THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 17-08-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

ASHOK BHUSHAN, C.J.

&

A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.

=====

R.P.No.694 of 2015

in

WA No.1979 of 2014

=====

Dated this, the 17th day of August, 2015

O R D E R

Shaffique, J.

This review petition is filed challenging judgment dated 20/5/2015 *inter alia* contending that there is error of law apparent on the face of record. It is contended by the petitioner that this Court has not taken into consideration, the scope and effect of Section 47 of the Travancore-Cochin Medical Practitioners Act. In fact, in para 9 of the judgment, this Court has considered the matter and observed that the experience gained by the petitioner as reflected in the documents produced by him do not satisfy the conditions for grant of registration and that it is not possible for the Council to take any different view in the matter. We have observed that Section 47 does not apply to the facts of the case. Petitioner further raised certain grounds which have already been raised and the relevant particulars had already been decided in

R.P.No.694 of 2015
in
WA No.1979 of 2014

-:2:-

the judgment.

We do not find that any of the grounds referred to in the review petition warrant review of the judgment as there is no error apparent on the face of record. The attempt of the petitioner is for a rehearing of the entire matter. We do not think that a rehearing is contemplated by way of a review. Accordingly, the review petition is dismissed.

Sd/-
ASHOK BHUSHAN, CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-
A.M. SHAFFIQUE, JUDGE

Rp

//True Copy//

PS to Judge