

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.K.ABDUL REHIM
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.RAMAKRISHNAN

THURSDAY, THE 2ND DAY OF JULY 2015/11TH ASHADHA, 1937

RP.No. 548 of 2015 (R) IN OP (FC).181/2015

AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT IN OP (FC) 181/2015 of HIGH COURT OF
KERALA DATED 10-06-2015

REVIEW PETITIONER/1ST RESPONDENT:

AHSANA NAVAL AGED 22 YEARS
D/O.DR.K.MOHAMMED SAJID, DARUL IHSAN, P T USHA ROAD
THIRUVEEDU LANE, KOZHIKODE, PIN 673032

BY ADV. SRI.MANJERI SUNDERRAJ

RESPONDENTS/2ND REPENDENT & PETITIONER:

1. SABITH YUNUS, AGED 31 YEARS
NALAKATH, S/O.DR.YUNUS NALAKATH, UDAILIYA VEEDU
PATATHANAPURAM, VALILLAPUZHA P O, AREECODE
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT

2. DR. K. MUHAMMED SAJITH KALLINGAL
S/O.AHAMMAD AMMEN, DARUL ISHAN, P T USHA ROAD
THER VEEDU LINE, KOZHIKODE

R1 & R2 BY ADV. SRI. P.M.ZAFARULLA
BY SRI.A.A.ZIYAD RAHMAN

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
02-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

pmn/

C.K.ABDUL REHIM & K.RAMAKRISHNAN, JJ.

RP .No. 548 of 2015

Dated this the 2nd day of July, 2015

JUDGMENT

K.Ramakrishnan,J.

This review petition is filed by the 1st respondent to review the judgment passed by this court on 10.6.2015. It is alleged in the review petition that as per the orders of this court interim custody was given to the respondent and he was permitted to take the children to his brother's house. There will be none in the house to look after the children. So it is unsafe to send the children to him. So she wants to review the order passed by this court.

2. Heard both sides. We have interacted with the review petitioner present before this court as requested by the counsel for the review petitioner. The apprehension of the review petitioner is that if the children were given to the respondent, he is likely to take them out of Calicut and there will be none to look after the children in the house as he will be alone in the

house. Counsel for the respondent in the review petition submitted that if the apprehension of the review petitioner is that there will be none in the house to look after the children, he is prepared to bring his father or mother to be present with him in the house so as to cater the need of the children. So we feel that the review petition can be disposed of on the basis of the submission of the counsel for the respondent. The submission made by the counsel for the respondent that either father or mother of the respondent herein in the review petition will be present in the house in which the children were permitted to be taken as per the order of this court during their stay with him is recorded and the review petition is disposed of accordingly.

C.K.ABDUL REHIM, JUDGE

K.RAMAKRISHNAN, JUDGE

Pmn/

