

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

MONDAY, THE 22ND DAY OF JUNE 2015/1ST ASHADHA, 1937

RP.No. 535 of 2015 () IN WP(C).14199/2015

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 14199/2015 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA
DATED 21-05-2015

REVIEW PETITIONER(S):

K.P.RAJEEVAN, AGED 54 YEARS
S/O.PADMANABHAN, STAR VISION CABLE, EROOR
ERNAKULAM.

BY ADVS.SRI.RENJITH THAMPAN (SR.)
SMT.P.R.REENA

RESPONDENT(S):

-
1. KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD LIMITED
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, VAIDYUTHI BHAVANAM
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN-695004.
 2. CHIEF ENGINEER
ELECTRICAL DIVISION OFFICE
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD, VYTTILA, ERNAKULAM
PIN-682020.
 3. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
ELECTRICAL DIVISION OFFICE, THRIPUNITHURA, ERNAKULAM
PIN-682301.
 4. ASSISTANT ENGINEER
ELECTRICAL SECTION, KSEB, CHOTTANIKKARA
PIN-682351.
 5. ASSISTANT ENGINEER
ELECTRICAL SECTION OFFICE, KSEB
THRIPUNITHURA, ERNAKULAM, PIN-682301.

R BY SRI.JAICE JACOB,SC,KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
22-06-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

V.CHITAMBARESH, J.

R.P.No.535 of 2015 in W.P.(C) No.14199 of 2015

Dated this the 22nd day of June, 2015

O R D E R

The petitioner himself concedes that television cables have been drawn unauthorisedly at least through negligible number of posts. This is precisely why the condition to deposit one-half of the amount demanded was imposed pending consideration of the representation.

2. I do not find any error apparent on the face of the record. No case for review of the judgment as sought has been made out.

The Review Petition is dismissed.

Sd/-
V.CHITAMBARESH,
Judge.

nj.