

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

TUESDAY, THE 9TH DAY OF JUNE 2015/19TH JYAISHTA, 1937

RP.No. 473 of 2015 (V) IN WP(C).9979/2015

IN WP(C) 9979/2015 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA

REVIEW PETITIONER(S)/WRIT PETITIONER:

K.SARITHA,
AGED 44 YEARS, D/O. LATE V.NARAYANANKUTTY,
BODHI, ODAMPILLY SUB LANE,
IRINJALAKUDA - 680 121,
THRISSUR, LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOL TEACHER
SISU VIDYA POSHINI LOWER PRIMARY (SVP LP SCHOOL),
ERIYAD, KODUNGALLUR.

BY ADVS.SRI.NAGARAJ NARAYANAN,
SRI.SAIJO HASSAN,
SRI.BENOJ C AUGUSTIN,
SRI.SEBIN THOMAS &
SRI.VISHNU BHUVANENDRAN.

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENTS:

1. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, GOVT. SECRETAIRAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.
2. DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
OFFICE OF D.P.I, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.
3. ASSISTANT EDUCATION OFFICER,
KODUNGALLUR - 680 664.
4. SISU VIDYA POSHINI LOWER PRIMARY (SVP LP SCHOOL),
ERIYAD, KODUNGALLUR - 680 666
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGER.
5. E.K.HAJARA,
MANAGER CUM HEADMISTRESS,
SISU VIDYA POSHINI LOWER PRIMARY (SVP LP SCHOOL),
ERIYAD, KODUNGALLUR - 680 666.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. R.RENJITH

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
09-06-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

rvs.

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.

Review Petition No.473 of 2015

in

W.P.(C).No. 9979 of 2015

Dated this the 9th day of June, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The writ petitioner filed this review for the reason that there is an error apparent in the judgment while disposing the writ petition. It is pointed out that this Court has only directed the 2nd respondent to dispose of Exts.P10. It is also submitted that Exts.P2, P3, P13 and P20 are to be considered by the 3rd respondent.

2. In that view of the matter, without expressing anything on merit, Exts.P2, P3, P13 and P20 shall be considered by the 3rd respondent within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order after hearing the petitioner and the 5th respondent. The petitioner shall also produce the copy of the order before the 3rd respondent along with the judgment. Other directions in the judgment remain intact.

Sd/

**A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE,
JUDGE**

jm/