

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.BHAVADASAN

FRIDAY, THE 5TH DAY OF JUNE 2015/15TH JYAISHTA, 1937

RP.No. 392 of 2015 ()

CRP 452/2014 of THIS HONOURABLE COURT

REVIEW PETITIONERS/RESPONDENTS :

- 1. KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
VYDHUTHIBHAVAN, PATTOM
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695001.**
- 2. ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
TRANSMISSION DIVISION, CHALAKUDY, PIN-680 307.**
- 3. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
TRANSMISSION DIVISION, CHALAKUDY, PIN-680307.**

BY ADV. SRI.K.M.SATHYANATHA MENON,SC,KSEB

RESPONDENT/PETITIONER :

**VARGHESE YOHANNAN
S/O.YOHANNAN, THELAPPILLY HOUSE, MANJPRA,
ANAPPARA P.O., ALUVA TALUK, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.**

BY SRI.M.A.ABDUL HAKHIM

**THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 05-06-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:**

bp

P.BHAVADASAN, J.

R.P. No. 392 of 2015

in

C.R.P.No. 452 of 2014

Dated this the 05th day of June, 2015

ORDER

The review is directed against that portion of the order passed by this Court whereby this Court calculated value per cent at ₹22,400/- whereas the lower court has adopted the value as ₹45,000/- per Are. Strictly speaking, the value per cent will be only about ₹18,215/-. There is some error in that regard. But considering the fact that the line has been drawn in 1985 and the trees were cut and removed there from and considering the fall in value of money, this error need not result in modification of the enhanced compensation passed by this Court. After calculation, the difference comes to only about a little over ₹12,000/-. That is compensated by the long passage of time in paying the amount.

R.P. No. 392 of 2015
in
C.R.P.No. 452 of 2014

-2-

For the above reasons, even though the learned standing counsel for the Board may be justified in his submission, considering various aspects, it is felt that no order of rectification is necessary.

This petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
P.BHAVADASAN
JUDGE

ds

//True copy//

P.A. to Judge