

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.BHAVADASAN

THURSDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF MAY 2015/7TH JYAISHTA, 1937

RP.No. 375 of 2015 (O) IN OP(C).3073/2014

OP(C) 3073/2014 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA.

REVIEW PETITIONER(S)/RESPONDENTS 2 TO 5:

-
1. SHEELAKUMARI,
MADATHILVEEDU, SWAMIYARMADAM, CHENKOTTUKONAM,
CHEMPAZHANTHY MURI, ULIYAZHATHURA VILLAGE,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 587.
 2. ANITHAKUMARI,
MADATHILVEEDU, SWAMIYARMADAM, CHENKOTTUKONAM,
CHEMPAZHANTHY MURI, ULIYAZHATHURA VILLAGE,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 587.
 3. SAJITHARAN,
MADATHILVEEDU, SWAMIYARMADAM, CHENKOTTUKONAM,
CHEMPAZHANTHY MURI, ULIYAZHATHURA VILLAGE,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 587.
 4. SAJITHADEVI,
MADATHILVEEDU, SWAMIYARMADAM, CHENKOTTUKONAM,
CHEMPAZHANTHY MURI, ULIYAZHATHURA VILLAGE,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 587.

BY ADV. SRI.SAJU.S.A

RESPONDENT(S) :

B.S.SHYAMKUMAR,
LAB ASSISTANT(PHYSICS), S.N. COLLEGE,
CHEMPAZHANTHY,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 587.

BY SRI.SERGI JOSEPH THOMAS

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 28-05-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:

P. BHAVADASAN, J.

- - - - -
R.P. No. 375 of 2015 in
O.P.(C) No. 3073 of 2004
- - - - -

Dated this the 28th day of May, 2015.

ORDER

When this review petition was taken up for consideration, learned counsel for the review petitioners pointed out that default in payment had been committed by the judgment debtor, the respondent in the review petition, and warrant had again been issued against him. If that be so, the default clause in the judgment dated 24.2.2015 operates.

Noticing the above event, this review petition is closed.

sb.

P. BHAVADASAN,
JUDGE