

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU

WEDNESDAY, THE 8TH DAY OF JULY 2015/17TH ASHADHA, 1937

RP.No. 317 of 2015 () IN WA.1142/2014

AGAINST THE ORDER IN WA 1142/2014 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA
DATED 21-08-2014

REVIEW PETITIONER(S)/5TH RESPONDENT:

APARNA PRATHAP,
CHIEF INSPECTING OFFICER(GENERAL MANAGER IN CHARGE)
KERALA CO-OPERATIVE AGRICULTURAL AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT BANK
HEAD OFFICE, AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT BANK BUILDING
STATUTE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001.

BY ADVS.SRI.C.A.MAJEED
SRI.K.H.ASIF
SMT.RAAGA R.RAMALAKSHMI

RESPONDENT(S)/WRIT APPELLANT/WRIT PETITIONERS 1 AND 2/RESPONDENTS:

-
1. KERALA STATE CO-OPERATIVE AGRICULTURAL AND RURAL
DEVELOPMENT BANK
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR
AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT BANK BUILDING
STATUTE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001.
 2. SHIBU PAUL, S/O.PAULOSE, AGED 40 YEARS,
JUNIOR SUPERVISOR, DEVIKULAM TALUK CO-OPERATIVE
AGRICULTURAL AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT BANK
ADMALI, RESIDING AT KEEPPANASSERIL, ADIMALI.685 561.
 3. SREEJITH S., S/O.B.SREEKUMAR, AGED 36 YEARS,
JUNIOR CLERK, KARUNAGAPPALLY CO-OPERATIVE AGRICULTURAL
AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT BANK
KARUNAGAPPALLY, RESIDING AT MULLASSERRIL
PADANARYARKULANGARA SOUTH, KARUNAGAPPALLY 690518.
 4. THE REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001.
 5. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
DEPARTMENT OF CO-OPERATION, SECRETARIAT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
 6. KERALA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION,
REPRESENTED THROUGH ITS SECRETARY, KPSC
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

R1 BY SRI.GEORGE POONTHOTTAM
R4, R5 BY SR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.M.A.FAYAZ
R2, R3 BY SRI.M.R.HARIRAJ
R6 BY SRI.P.C.SASIDHARAN, SC, KPSC

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 08-07-2015, THE
COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC & DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, JJ.

C.M.Appln.No.120 of 2015 & R.P.No.317 of 2015
in
W.A.No.1142 of 2014

Dated this the 8th day of July, 2015

O R D E R

Antony Dominic, J.

When the C.M.application came up for consideration, counsel for the petitioner informed that the writ appeal itself is part heard and therefore, no further orders are necessary in the review petition. In view of the submission so made, the C.M. application and the review petition are closed.

Sd/-
ANTONY DOMINIC, Judge.

Sd/-
DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, Judge.

k kb.

/True copy/

PS to Judge