

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

TUESDAY, THE 10TH DAY OF MARCH 2015/19TH PHALGUNA, 1936

RP.No. 239 of 2015

JUDGMENT DATED 08-01-2015 IN WP(C) 32420/2014.
.....

PETITIONER/PETITIONER:

**ABDUL HAMEED V.M., AGED 56 YEARS,
S/O.MUHAMMED, VALAMKOTTIL HOUSE,
THRIKKAKARA P.O., VALLATHOL JUNCTION,
ERNAKULAM, PIN - 682 021.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.A.A.ZIYAD RAHMAN
SRI.LAL K.JOSEPH
SRI.V.S.SHIRAZ BAVA
SRI.JOSEPH KURIAN VALLAMATTAM**

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENTS:

- 1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
SECRETARY TO DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,
SECRETARIAT, TRIVANDRUM, PIN - 695 001.**
- 2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR
COLLECTORATE, KAKKANDU, ERNAKULAM, PIN - 682 021.**
- 3. REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, MUVATTUPUZHA,
THE CHAIRMAN OF DISTRICT LEVEL MONITORING
COMMITTEE CONSTITUTED UNDER KERALA
CONSERVATION OF PADDY LAND AND WET LAND ACT,
2008 - 682 110.**
- 4. THE TAHSILDAR, KUNNATHUNADU TALUK,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT, PIN - 682 030.**
- 5. THE AGRICULTURAL OFFICER, KRISHI BHAVAN,
KIZHAKKAMBALAM, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.
THE CONCEOR OF LOCAL LEVEL MONITORING
COMMITTEE CONSTITUTED UNDER KERALA
CONSERVATION OF PADDY LAND AND WET LAND ACT,
2008 - 682 110.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.K.C.VINCENT

**THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 10-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:**

mbr/

P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.

R.P.No.239 of 2015

in

W.P.(C).No.32420 of 2014

Dated this the 10th day of March, 2015

ORDER

The writ petition filed by the petitioner was disposed of based on the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner across the Bar to have Ext.P5 to be considered and disposed of by the second respondent. The review petition has been filed by the writ petitioner pointing out that the petitioner omitted to note that the second respondent, by the time the judgment was rendered, had already forwarded Ext.P5 to the fifth respondent and it was for the fifth respondent to have the matter considered. Hence the review petition.

2. Heard the learned Government Pleader as well.

3. After hearing both the sides the review petition is disposed of, making it clear that the direction issued by this Court as per the judgment dated 8.1.2015 will have to be complied with by the fifth respondent. Accordingly, there will be a

R.P.No.239 of 2015 in
W.P.(C).No.32420 of 2014

direction to the fifth respondent to consider Ext.P5 and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law after conducting a site inspection also in the light of relevant judicial proceedings, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and other interested parties, if any, at the earliest, at any rate within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

The petitioner shall produce a copy of this judgment along with copies of the Review petition and the writ petition before the fifth respondent for further steps.

Sd/-

**P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON,
JUDGE.**

rkc.