

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.BHAVADASAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 8TH DAY OF APRIL 2015/18TH CHAITHRA, 1937

RP.No. 128 of 2015 (O) IN OP(C).1812/2014

-----  
OP(C) 1812/2014 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA .

REVIEW PETITIONER(S)/PETITIONER:

-----

M.K.PARAMESWARAN ELAYATH,  
S/O. LATE KESAVAN ELAYATH,  
KIZHAKKE MANGALA PILLI ILLAM, NEAR MAHAVISHNU TEMPLE,  
KUMBALANGHI VAZHI, PALLURUTHY VILLAGE, KOCHI-06.

BY ADV. SRI.B.KRISHNA MANI

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENTS:

-----

1. M.P.PARAMESWARAN ELAYATH, AGED 77 YEARS,  
S/O.PARAMESWARAN ELAYATH,  
RESIDING AT D.NO. 21/1798 MANGALA PILLI ILLAM,  
KUMBALANGHI VAZHI, PALLURUTHY VILLAGE, KOCHI-06.
2. GANGA ANTHARJANAM,  
W/O. LATE KESAVAN ELAYATH,  
KIZHAKKE MANGALA PILLI ILLAM, NEAR MAHAVISHNU TEMPLE,  
KUMBALANGHI VAZHI, PALLURUTHY VILLAGE, KOCHI-06.
3. M.K.NEELAKANDAN ELAYATH,  
KIZHAKKE MANGALA PILLI ILLAM, NEAR MAHAVISHNU TEMPLE,  
KUMBALANGHI VAZHI, PALLURUTHY VILLAGE, KOCHI-06.
4. SATHI,  
W/O. M.K.PARAMESWARAN ELAYATH,  
KIZHAKKE MANGALA PILLI ILLAM, NEAR MAHAVISHNU TEMPLE,  
KUMBALANGHI VAZHI, PALLURUTHY VILLAGE, KOCHI-06.
5. ARUN P.,  
S/O. M.K.PARAMESWARAN ELAYATH,  
KIZHAKKE MANGALA PILLI ILLAM, NEAR MAHAVISHNU TEMPLE,  
KUMBALANGHI VAZHI, PALLURUTHY VILLAGE, KOCHI-06.

6. LATHA,  
W/O. M.K.NEELAKANDAN ELAYATH,  
KIZHAKKE MANGALA PILLI ILLAM, NEAR MAHAVISHNU TEMPLE,  
KUMBALANGHI VAZHI, PALLURUTHY VILLAGE, KOCHI-06.

7. VISHNU,  
S/O. NEELAKANDAN ELAYATH,  
KIZHAKKE MANGALA PILLI ILLAM, NEAR MAHAVISHNU TEMPLE,  
KUMBALANGHI VAZHI, PALLURUTHY VILLAGE, KOCHI-06.

8. M.N. RAMAN NAMBOODIRI,  
S/O. LATE NARAYANAN ELAYATH,  
KIZHAKKE MANGALA PILLI ILLAM, NEAR MAHAVISHNU TEMPLE,  
KUMBALANGHI VAZHI, PALLURUTHY VILLAGE, KOCHI-06.

R1 BY DR.K.P.PRADEEP

R3, R6 & R7 BY SRI.UNNIKRISHNAN.V.ALAPATT

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON  
08-04-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

**P. BHAVADASAN, J.**

-----  
R.P. No. 128 of 2015 in  
O.P.(C). No. 1812 of 2014  
-----

Dated this the 8<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2015.

**ORDER**

Inspite of repeated observations by the Apex Court that review petition shall not be entertained if filed by a counsel other than the counsel who has originally presented the case, here is a case where a different counsel has filed the review petition.

Grounds which were not either highlighted while arguing the matter before this Court when originally heard have now been highlighted in the review petition. In fact what is attempted is a rehearing of the Original Petition under the label of review petition which is not either legally entertainable nor justifiable.

For the above reason, this Review Petition stands dismissed.

sb.

P. BHAVADASAN,  
JUDGE