

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALEXANDER THOMAS**

THURSDAY, THE 5TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/16TH MAGHA, 1936

RPNo. 106 of 2015 (R) IN OP (FC).73/2014

**AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT IN OP (FC) 73/2014 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA
DATED 21-05-2014**

REVIEW PETITIONER(S)/RESPONDENTS 1 AND 2:

- 1. SAJNA AGED 32 YEARS
D/O.MOOSA, PODUVACHOLA HOUSE, VILAYUR.P.O.
PALAKKAD DISTRICT-679 309.**
- 2. MUMTHAS C.V
W/O.MOOSA P.C, PODUVACHOLA HOUSE, VILAYUR.P.O.
PALAKKAD DISTRICT-679 309.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.K.MOHANAKANNAN
SMT.A.R.PRAVITHA**

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENT:

**MUHAMMED RAZAK, AGED 34 YEARS
S/O.MOIDEEN, KONDENGADAN HOUSE, KAKKAPARATHA
EDAKKARA AMSOM, NILAMBUR TALUK
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT-629 337.**

BY SRI.P.SAMSUDIN

**THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 05-02-2015,
ALONG WITH RP. 108/2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:**

RP NO.106/15

APPENDIX

REVIEW PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS

ANNEXURE A1: TRUE COPY OF THE REGISTER MAINTAINED BY
THE JFCM COURT, PATTAMBI.

//True Copy//

PA to Judge

Rp

ANTONY DOMINIC & ALEXANDER THOMAS, JJ.

=====

R.P.No.106/15 IN OP(FC) No.73/14

&

R.P.No.108/15 IN OP(FC) No.235/14

=====

Dated this the 5th day of February, 2015

O R D E R

Antony Dominic, J.

Though what is prayed for in these cases is a review of the arrangement made by this Court in the common judgment rendered on 21st of May, 2014, we are not satisfied that the petitioners have made out any error justifying review as sought for by them.

2. However, having regard to the submission made by both sides about the arrangements made by this Court for the production of the children before the Sheristadar of the Family Court (vide para 8 of the judgment), we feel that, it is only appropriate that both sides are given liberty to apply to the Family Court, Malappuram for modification of the arrangement, in the event they feel that due to genuine reasons, such a modification is necessary.

3. It is made clear that in the event any such application is made by either of the parties, the Family Court will consider the application on merits with notice to the opposite side and will pass

R.P.No.106/15 IN OP(FC) No.73/14
&
R.P.No.108/15 IN OP(FC) No.235/14

: 2 :

orders thereon, without compromising the welfare of the children also.

With the above observation, the review petitions are disposed of.

Sd/-
**ANTONY DOMINIC
JUDGE**

Sd/-
**ALEXANDER THOMAS
JUDGE**

Rp

//True Copy//

PA to Judge