

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

FRIDAY, THE 30TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/10TH MAGHA, 1936

RP.No. 79 of 2015 () IN WP(C).15251/2012

**AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 15251/2012 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA DATED
25.11.2014**

PETITIONER/PETITIONER:

**THANKAMMA P.Y. AGED 70 YEARS
CHAMAVILA PADINJATTETHIL, MULAVANA VILLAGE
MUKKOD P.O, KUNDARA, KOLLAM DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. SRI.LIJOY P.VARGHESE

RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS:

- 1. KUNDARA SERVICE CO. OPERATIVE BANK NO.401
PALLIMUKKU, KUNDARA P.O, KOLLAM
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.**
- 2. THE JOINT REGISTRAR (GENERAL)
CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES, THE JOINT REGISTRAR'S OFFICE
KOLLAM 691 501.**

**R1 BY SRI.ALEX N.MATHEW (KOLLAM)
R2 BY ADV.BIJU MEENATTOOR, GOVERNMENT PLEADER
R1 BY SRI.H.RAMANAN**

**THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 30-01-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

R.P.No.79 of 2015

in

W.P.(C) No.15251 of 2012

Dated this the 30th day of January, 2015

ORDER

The petitioner has entrusted the matter with a different Advocate who has filed the above review petition, on the ground that the petitioner's loan is entitled to relief under two schemes. Obviously, the said issue was not argued when the writ petition was heard and judgment was delivered. There is not even a semblance of such pleading having been made in the Writ Petition. The judgment also refers to the confined prayer 'made for instalments'. No ground for review made out as has been laid down in **State of West Bengal and Others Vs. Kamal Semgupta and Another [2008 (8) SCC 612]**.

The Review Petition is dismissed.

Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE