

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU

MONDAY, THE 13TH DAY OF JULY 2015/22ND ASHADHA, 1937

WP(C).No. 3356 of 2005 (Y)

PETITIONERS:

1. G.JAGADAMMA, EXECUTIVE OFFICER,
ALEPPEY DISTRICT CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD.,
KATTANAM BRANCH, KATTANAM P.O. ALAPPUZHA,
RESIDING AT PRASANTHI, ANGILIPRA,
THATTARAMBALAM P.O., MAVELIKKARA.
2. N.SREEKUMAR, EXECUTIVE OFFICER/
SENIOR MANAGER, ALEPPEY DISTRICT CO-OP.BANK,
CHERTHALA BRANCH, ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT.

BY ADVS.SRI.GEORGE VARGHESE(PERUMPALLIKUTTIYIL)
SRI.SUDHEEN V.KAMATH
SRI.A.R.DILEEP

RESPONDENTS:

1. STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY THE SECRETARY
TO GOVERNMENT IN THE DEPARTMENT OF
CO-OPERATION, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
2. THE ALEPPEY DISTRICT CO-OP.BANK LTD.,
ALAPPUZHA REP. BY ITS GENERAL MANAGER,
ALAPPUZHA.
3. THE JOINT REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE
SOCIETIES, ALAPPUZHA.
4. K.R.MOHANAN, ASSISTANT BRANCH MANAGER,
ALEPPEY DISTRICT CO-OP. BANK, ALAPPUZHA.
5. W.P.JOHN, EXECUTIVE OFFICER,
SUPERINTENDENT, RECONCILIATION CELL,
HEAD OFFICE, P.B.NO.104, ALEPPEY DISTRICT
CO-OPERATIVE BANK, ALAPPUZHA.
6. K.G.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, EXECUTIVE
OFFICER/SENIOR MANAGER, PULINKUNNU BRANCH,
ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT CO-OP.BANK.
7. V.N.GOPALAKRISHNA PILLAI, EXECUTIVE
OFFICER/SENIOR MANAGER, MAVELIKKARA,
ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT CO-OP. BANK.
8. E.M.VARGHESE, EXECUTIVE OFFICER,
RECONCILIATION CELL, HEAD OFFICE, P.B.NO.104
ALEPPEY DISTRICT CO-OPERATIVE BANK, ALAPPUZHA.

R1 & R3 BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI.G.GOPAKUMAR
R2 BY ADV. SRI.V.G.ARUN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
13-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

Dama Seshadri Naidu, J.

W.P.(C)No.3356 of 2005 Y

Dated this the 13th day of July, 2015

JUDGMENT

None appears for the petitioners. Despite the fact that the writ petition belongs to the year 2005 and that well in advance this Court has notified that the matter would be taken up today, the petitioners have not chosen to appear before the Court to prosecute the case. This Court accordingly holds that the petitioners may not be inclined to pursue the writ petition. As a result, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Dama Seshadri Naidu, Judge

tkv