

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

THURSDAY, THE 12TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/23RD MAGHA, 1936

RP No. 881 of 2013 () IN LAA NO.272/2012

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN LAA NO. 272/2012 OF THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA
DATED 26-07-2012

REVIEW PETITIONERS/RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS:

1. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE SPECIAL TAHSILDAR (LA) ,
KASARAGOD.
2. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
PWD ROADS DIVISION, KASARAGODU.
3. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
KASARAGOD.

BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.S.JAMAL

RESPONDENT/APPELLANT/CLAIMANT:

A. YAMINA PASHA
W/O MOHAMMED PASHA, KAPIL, PALLIKKARA P.O.
BEKAL, KASARGOD DISTRICT-671318.

BY ADV. SRI.K.V.SOHAN

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
12-02-2015 ALONG WITH RP NO.971/2013, THE COURT ON THE
SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

BKA/-

**ANTONY DOMINIC
&
A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, JJ.**

RP No. 881 of 2013 in LAA No. 272 of 2012
&
RP No. 971 of 2013 in LAA No. 271 of 2012

Dated this the 12th day of February, 2015

O R D E R

Antony Dominic, J.

These review petitions are filed seeking review of the judgment of this Court in LAA Nos.271/2012 and 272/2012.

2. The contention raised by the learned Government Pleader is that this Court has fixed ₹2,50,000/- per cent on the erroneous assumption that the Land Acquisition Officer had awarded ₹94,645/- per cent. However, from the judgment under review, we notice that the enhancement ordered by this Court was placing reliance on Ext.A2 document and not on the amounts awarded by the Land Acquisition Officer. In such circumstances, since the enhancement of compensation

..2..

was on an independent assessment of the compensation due to the claimant, we are not satisfied that any error has been committed by this Court justifying the review.

Therefore, the review petitions are dismissed.

Sd/-
ANTONY DOMINIC
JUDGE

Sd/-
A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE

bka/-

//True copy//

PA to Judge