

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.N.RAVINDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 22ND DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/31ST BHADRA, 1937

RP.No. 849 of 2013 () IN OP(C).3229/2012

AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT IN OP(C) 3229/2012 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA
DATED 14-06-2013

REVIEW PETITIONER/PETITIONER:

K.I.VARGHESE,
S/O.IDICULA, RESIDING AT KINATTINKARA VEEDU,
THEKKUMPURAM MURI, PUTHOOR VILLAGE.

BY ADVS.SRI.T.KRISHNAN UNNI (SR.)
SRI.SAJU.S.A
SMT.P.A.SHEEJA
SRI.K.C.KIRAN

RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS 1, 2 & 4:

-
1. GEORGEKUTTY,
S/O.MATHEW, RESIDING AT ANEKKOTTOORAZHIKATHU VEEDU,
THEKKUMPURAM MURI, PUTHOOR VILLAGE-691 507.
 2. JOHN ALIAS SOMAN,
S/O.IDICULA, RESIDING AT KINATTINKARA JOHN VILLA,
KALOORAZHIKATHU, THEKKUMPURAM MURI,
PUTHOOR VILLAGE-691 507.
 3. I.JOSE,
S/O.A.B.IDICULA, ANAKOTTOORAZHIKATHU VEEDU
THEMMUMPURAM, PUTHOOR VILLAGE, KOTTARAKKARA TALUK
NOW RESIDING AT P.B.NO.493, G.T.C.ASPHALT PLANT
MUBARAQ, ABUDABI, U.A.E.

R1 BY SRI.VINOY VARGHESE KALLUMOOTTILL

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 22-09-2015, THE
COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

vpv

P.N.RAVINDRAN, J.
=====

R.P.No.849 of 2013
in
O.P.(C)No.3229 of 2012
=====

Dated this the 22nd day of September, 2015

ORDER

None appears for the petitioner. There was also no representation on behalf of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner when the review petition was called on for hearing today. The same was the situation when the instant review petition was came up before me on 2.9.2015. On that day this court had passed the following order:-

*"None appears for the petitioner. There was also no representation on behalf of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner when the review petition was called on for hearing today. Registry has also reported that the petitioner has not complied with the direction issued by this court on 23.7.2015. However before dismissing the review petition for default, I deem it appropriate to grant the review petitioner another opportunity.
Call on 22.9.2015."*

Today also the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner is not present though steps have been taken to serve notice on respondents 2 and 3, pursuant to the order dated 23.7.2015. In such circumstances, the review petition is dismissed for default.

Sd/-
P.N.RAVINDRAN
JUDGE

/true copy/

P.A. To Judge