

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.T.RAVIKUMAR

MONDAY, THE 9TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/18TH KARTHIKA, 1937

RP.No. 791 of 2013 (P) IN WP(C).24921/2008

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 24921/2008 DATED 14-06-2013

REVIEW PETITIONER(S)/PETITIONER:

SHIJULAL M.K, AGED 30 YEARS
S/O LATE M.K.GOVINDAN, MANNARUKONDIYIL HOUSE
NAVIKUNI P.O., KOZHIKODE DISTRICT, PIN:673 585.

BY ADVS.SRI.P.S.SREEDHARAN PILLAI
SRI.T.K.SANDEEP
SRI.ARJUN SREEDHAR
SRI.ARUN KRISHNA DHAN

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENTS:

-
1. GOVERNMENT OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695001
 2. THE SURVEY DIRECTOR
DIRECTORATE OF SURVEY, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695001
 3. THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR
RESURVEY AND LAND RECORDS, KARANI P.O., WAYANAD-673591
 4. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
WAYANAD-673560

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 09-11-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

C.T. RAVIKUMAR, J.

=====

R.P. No.791 OF 2013
IN
W.P.(C).No.24921 OF 2008

=====

Dated this the 9th day of November, 2015

ORDER

The captioned writ petition was dismissed as not pressed on 14.6.2013 based on the submission by the learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, to the effect that the petitioner did not wish to pursue with the writ petition any further. Now, a review petition has been filed through another counsel. A perusal of the pleadings in the review petition would reveal that there is absolute absence of any statement to the effect that the petitioner has not instructed the learned counsel for making a submission as above which culminated in the dismissal of the writ petition as not pressed. In such circumstances, I do not find any reason to recall the judgment dated 14.6.2013. Accordingly, this review petition is dismissed.

Sd/-
C.T. RAVIKUMAR
(JUDGE)

spc/

R.P.

2

C.T. RAVIKUMAR, J.

R.P.

3

JUDGMENT

September, 2010