

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN  
&  
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL THOMAS

MONDAY, THE 25TH DAY OF MAY 2015/4TH JYAISHTA, 1937

RFA.No. 22 of 2015 ()

-----  
AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT IN OS 733/2012 of PRINCIPAL SUB  
COURT,ERNAKULAM DATED 26-08-2014

APPELLANT/DEFENDANT:

-----

GEETHA DEVI, AGED 59 YEARS  
D/O.RAGHAVAN, PUTHENPURAKKAL HOUSE, UDAYAMPEROOR.P.O  
MANAKUNNAM VILLAGE, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY ADVS.SRI.VAKKOM N.VIJAYAN  
SMT.V.RENJU  
SRI.P.ANIYAN

RESPONDENT/PLAINTIFF:

-----

SATHI,, AGED 69 YEARS  
W/O.VISWANBHARAN, PUTHENPURAKKAL HOUSE, PANANGADU  
KUMBALAM VILLAGE, ERNAKULAM-682 506.

R1 BY ADV. SRI.M.S.UNNIKRISHNAN  
R1 BY ADV. SMT.JAYASREE MANOJ  
R1 BY ADV. SRI.JITHIN PAUL VARGHESE  
R1 BY ADV. SMT.CHITHRA.S.BABU  
R1 BY ADV. SMT.M.PRIYANKA M.P.

THIS REGULAR FIRST APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON  
25-05-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

**THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN &  
SUNIL THOMAS, JJ.**

=====

**R.F.A.No.22 of 2015**

=====

Dated this the 25<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2015

**JUDGMENT**

**Thottathil B. Radhakrishnan, J.**

Appeal admitted. Parties appear through counsel.

2. Memorandum of settlement agreement perused. The same is recorded and a decree is passed in terms of compromise in supersession of the impugned decree of the court below. The memorandum of settlement will stand appended to this judgment and this judgment along with appended memorandum of settlement will be treated as a decree for all intents and purposes. Drafting of separate decree is dispensed with.

Appeal ordered accordingly.

Sd/-

**THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN  
Judge**

Sd/-

**SUNIL THOMAS  
Judge**

Sbna