

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALAAT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE B.KEMAL PASHA

MONDAY, THE 27TH DAY OF JULY 2015/5TH SRAVANA, 1937

OP(C).No. 3091 of 2014 (O)

OS 669/2013 of MUNSIFF COURT, NORTH PARAVUR

PETITIONER(S):

MINI, AGED 35 YEARS
D/O.OMANAKUNJAN, KATTETHUPARAMBIL,
VADAKKUMPURAM KARA
CHENDAMANGALAM VILLAGE.

BY ADV. SRI.V.M.KRISHNAKUMAR

RESPONDENT(S):

BINDHU
W/O.AJAYAKUMAR, KUNNUKATTIL HOUSE,
VADAKKUMPURAM KARA
CHENDAMANGALAM VILLAGE.

THIS OP (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 27-07-2015, THE COURT
ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

OPC.3091/2014

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

P1 TRUE COPY OF IA.1524/2014 IN OS.669/13 BEFORE THE MUNSIFF COURT,
N.PARAVUR.

P2 TRUE COPY OF ORDER IN IA.1524/2014 IN OS.669/13 BEFORE THE MUNSIFF
COURT, N.PARAVUR.

// True Copy //

PA to Judge

B. KEMAL PASHA, J.

.....
O.P.(C) No.3091 of 2014
.....

Dated this the 27th day of July, 2015

J U D G M E N T

~ ~ ~ ~ ~

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. It seems that the petitioner has filed IA No.1524/2014 before the court below seeking the certified copy of the plaint, written statement, decree, etc. The court below has dismissed the application through Ext.P2 by stating that the said application was filed through another lawyer, who was not originally appearing for the party before the court below.

3. It seems that the petitioner has got a case that the earlier lawyer was not engaged by the petitioners whereas, collusively a compromise decree was obtained by the adverse party. When the petitioners have a specific case that they had never engaged the said lawyer, the court below ought to have issued copies of the documents sought

for by the petitioners through IA No.1524/2014.

In the result, this O.P.(Civil) is allowed and Ext.P2 order is set aside. The court below is directed to issue certified copies of the documents as sought for by the petitioners through IA No.1524/2014.

Sd/-
(B.KEMAL PASHA, JUDGE)

aks/27/07

// True Copy //

PA to Judge