

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.T.RAVIKUMAR
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.P.JYOTHINDRANATH

MONDAY, THE 7TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/16TH BHADRA, 1937

RP.No. 110 of 2010 () IN WP(C).28222/2009

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 28222/2009 DATED 16-10-2009

REVIEW PETITIONER(S)/RESPONDENTS 4 TO 8:

1. PANAVALACODE SREE CHAMUNDI DEVI KSHETHRAM
REPRESENTED BY ITS PRESENT PRESIDENT SASIDHARAN,
RAKENDU, MULLOOR P.O.,VIZHINJAM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
2. RAMACHANDRAN, S/O.LEKSHMANAN NADAR,
SECRETARY, PANAVALACODE SREE CHAMUNDI DEVI KSHETHRAM
THALAKKODU VEEDU, MULLOOR P.O., THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
3. MANOHARAN, S/O.RAGHAVAN,
MEMBER, PANAVALACODE SREE CHAMUNDI DEVI KSHETHRAM
THALAKKODU VEEDU, MULLOOR P.O., THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
4. KUMAR, S/O.BHARGAVAN NADAR,
MEMBER, PANAVALACODE SREE CHAMUNDI DEVI KSHETHRAM
THALAKKODU VEEDU, MULLOOR P.O., THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
5. RAJESH, S/O.THANKARAJAN,
MEMBER, PANAVALACODE SREE CHAMUNDI DEVI KSHETHRAM
THALAKKODU VEEDU, MULLOOR P.O., THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.SAJU.S.A

RESPONDENT(S):PETITIONER & RESPONDENTS 1 TO 3

1. MOHAN NAIR, 'PRASANTHI'
FOREST LANE, THYCAUD, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM
REPRESENTED BY POWER OF ATTORNEY HOLDER, G.MANESH
PANKI KMALESWARAM, MANACAUD P.O., THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
2. DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
KERALA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
3. CITY POLICE COMMISSIONER, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
4. CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,

R1 BY SRI.M.BALAGOVINDAN
R2 TO R4 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.V.H.JASMINE

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 07-09-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

R.P.NO.110/2010

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS:

ANNEXURE 1:TRUE COPY OF THE COMMISSION REPORT DATED 6.2.2009 IN
O.S.226/008 ON THE FILE OF THE SUB COURT NEYYATTINKARA.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS:NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A.TO JUDGE

**C.T. RAVIKUMAR
&
K.P.JYOTHINDRANATH, JJ.**

**R.P. No. 110 OF 2010
in
W.P.(C).No.28222 OF 2009**

Dated this the 7th day of September, 2015

ORDER

Ravikumar, J.

Respondents 4 to 8 in the writ petition are the petitioners in the Review Petition. They seek for review of the judgment dated 16.10.2009 in the captioned writ petition. The said writ petition was filed seeking protection to the life and property of the writ petitioner. Evidently, the same was disposed of with a direction to the Police to render necessary protection to the life and property of the writ petitioner making it clear that the direction to give protection to the property would be subject to orders, if any, passed by the civil court

regarding the right to use the pathway.

2. We have carefully gone through the grounds raised in the review petition. In fact, no error apparent on the face of the records has been brought out warranting an invocation of the review power. In such circumstances, this review petition has to fail and accordingly, it is dismissed.

**Sd/-
(C.T. RAVIKUMAR)
JUDGE**

**Sd/-
(K.P.JYOTHINDRANATH)
JUDGE**

spc/