

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE B.KEMAL PASHA

MONDAY, THE 31ST DAY OF AUGUST 2015/9TH BHADRA, 1937

OP(Crl.).No. 273 of 2015 (Q)

MC.NO. 85/2013 OF MUNSIF MAGISTRATE COURT, PARAVUR

PETITIONER :

**VALSALA
W/O. LATE MOHANAN PILLAI
AGED 61 YEARS, HAVING PERMANENT ADDRESS AT
VETTIKUNNUVILA VADAKKATHIL
KURUMANDAL B, PARAVUR, KOLLAM DISTRICT
AND PRESENTLY RESIDING AT JYOTSNA
VALIYAVILA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.R.MANOJ
SMT.SINDHU MANOJ**

RESPONDENT(S) :

- 1. GEETHA
AGED 35 YEARS, POOTHAVILA VEEDU
POOTHAKULAM P.O., PARAVUR – 683 513.**
- 2. VAJRA
D/O. RAJESH, MINOR,
AGED 11 YEARS, POOTHAVILA VEEDU
POOTHAKULAM P.O., PARAVUR – 683 513
REP. BY HER MOTHER GEETHA.**
- 3. VAIGA
AGED 8 YEARS, D/O. RAJESH,
POOTHAVILA VEEDU,
POOTHAKULAM P.O., PARAVUR – 683 513
REP. BY HER MOTHER GEETHA.**
- 4. RAJESH
VETTIKUNNUVILA VADAKKATHIL
KURUMANDAL B, PARAVUR
KOLLAM DISTRICT – 683 513.**
- 5. STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM – 682 031.**

R5 BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SMT. MAYA

**THIS OP (CRIMINAL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 31-08-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

Mn

...2/-

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS :

- EXT.P1 : COPY OF THE SALE IN FAVOUR OF THE PETITIONER DATED 5/7/2012.
- EXT.P2 COPY OF THE MC NO. 85/2013 ON THE FILES OF THE MUNSIF
MAGISTRATE, PARAVUR DATED 2/7/2013.
- EXT.P3 COPY OF OBJECTIONS IN MC NO. 85/2013 OF THE MUNSIF-
MAGISTRATE, PARAVUR.
- EXT.P4 COPY OF THE CMP NO. 354(a)/2015 IN MC NO. 85/2013 DATED 6/2/2015.
- EXT.P5 COPY OF THE ORDER IN CAMP NO. 354(a)/2015 IN MC NO. 85/2013
DATED 6/2/2015.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS : NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

Mn

B. KEMAL PASHA, J.

.....
O.P.(Crl) No.273 of 2015
.....

Dated this the 31st day of August, 2015

J U D G M E N T

Heard.

2. An interim protection order under the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 is under challenge. It seems that the petitioner has not taken recourse to the provision under Section 25(2) or 29 of the said Act. Even without having recourse to the provisions under Sections 25(2) of the said Act, it is open to the petitioner to approach the appellate court under Section 29 of the said Act.

With the said observation, this Original Petition is closed.

Sd/- B.KEMAL PASHA, JUDGE

ul/-

[True copy]

P.S. to Judge