

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.ABRAHAM MATHEW

FRIDAY, THE 18TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/27TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

OP(C).No. 3111 of 2015 (O)

OS 1961/2012 OF IIND ADDITIONAL SUB COURT,THRISSUR

PETITIONER(S)/DEFENDANT:

**P.C.MURALEEDHARAN, AGED 45, BUSINESS,
S/O.PURAYIDATHU KALATHIL KUTTISANKARA MENON,
RESIDING AT AMBILIKALA, VIII/402, MISSION QUARTERS,
CHEMBUKKAVU VILLAGE, EAST FORT, THRISSUR.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.ARUN KUMAR.P
SMT.AMBIKA RADHAKRISHNAN**

RESPONDENT(S)/DEFENDANTS:

- 1. CHANDRIKA, AGED 70 YEARS,
W/O.LATE GOPALAKRISHNAN, T.C.VI/245,
CHATHAKUDATH HOUSE, EAST PAADUKAD DESOM,
VIYYUR VILLAGE, THRISSUR-680010.**
- 2. SAILESH, AGED 47 YEARS, S/O.LATE GOPALAKRISHNAN,
T.C.VI/245, CHATHAKUDATH HOUSE,
EAST PAADUKAD DESOM, VIYYUR VILLAGE, THRISSUR-680010.**

**THIS OP (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 18-12-2015, THE
COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

PJ

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- EXT.P-1: TRUE COPY OF THE PLAINT IN OS.1961/12 ON THE FILE OF 2ND ADDL.SUB COURT THRISSUR.
- EXT.P2: REPORT OF THE ADVOCATE COMMISSIONER DATED 17/11/12 IN IA.9834/12 IN OS.1961/12 ON THE FILE OF 2ND ADDL.SUB COURT THRISSUR
- EXT.P3: TRUE COPY OF THE COUNTER FILED BY THE PETITIONER IN IA.9833/12 IN OS.1961/12
- EXT.P4: TRUE COPY OF THE WRITTEN STATEMENT FILED BY THE PETITIONER/DEFENDANT IN OS.1961/12
- EXT.P5: TRUE COPY OF THE PETITION FILED BY RESPONDENTS IN IA.4681/15 IN EXT.P1 SUIT TO APPOINT AN ADVOCATE COMMISSIONER ASSISTED BY A TALUK SURVEYOR TO MEASURE AND DEMARCAT E BOUNDARIES OF PLAINT A SCHEDULE PROPERTY.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS

NIL.

/ TRUE COPY /

P.S. TO JUDGE

PJ

K.ABRAHAM MATHEW J.

O.P.(C)No.3111 of 2015

Dated this the 18th day of December, 2015

JUDGMENT

Petitioner is the defendant in O.S.No.1961 of 2012 of II Additional Sub Court, Thrissur. The respondents have filed the suit for fixation of boundary. It is submitted that the petitioner has filed Ext P4 written statement stating that he has no objection to the court fixing the boundaries. But the suit has not been disposed of.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. His request is that the trial court may be directed to dispose of the suit without delay is reasonable. But measurement of the property will be necessary. The court has already appointed a commissioner. There is no impediment to dispose of the suit immediately after getting the report.

In the result, this Original Petition is disposed of with a direction to the trial court to dispose of the suit before 30.6.2016.

Sd/-

**K.ABRAHAM MATHEW
JUDGE**

cms

/True copy/

P.S.to Judge