

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.ABRAHAM MATHEW

MONDAY, THE 14TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/23RD AGRAHAYANA, 1937

OP(C).No. 3046 of 2015 (O)

A.A. 16/2015 OF APPELLATE AUTHORITY (LR), KANNUR

PETITIONER :

**K.P. SULOCHANA, AGED 72 YEARS,
W/O.GANGADHARAN, RESIDING AT SUVASA,
KUNNAMANGALAM, KOZHIKODE.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.V.V.SURENDRAN
SRI.P.A.HARISH**

RESPONDENTS :

- 1. N.JAYANANDAN
S/O. SANKARAN, RESIDING AT IYYARAMBIL HOUSE,
KUNNAMANGALAM, KOZHIKODE-673 571.**
- 2. THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY (LR)
CIVIL STATION, KANNUR-670 002.**
- 3. THE LAND TRIBUNAL
KOZHIKODE, POST CIVIL STATION, CALICUT-673 020.**

**THIS OP (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 14-12-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED
THE FOLLOWING:**

bp

OP(C).No. 3046 of 2015 (O)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

**P1:- TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE LAND TRIBUNAL, KOZHIKODE
IN I.A.854/14 AND 855/14 IN RC 2/13 DATED 23.3.2015.**

P2:- TRUE COPY OF THE MEMORANDUM OF APPEAL IN A.A.16/15.

P3:- TRUE COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT FILED IN SUPPORT OF THE APPLICATION.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.A.TO JUDGE

bp

K. ABRAHAM MATHEW, J.

O.P.(C)No.3046 of 2015

Dated this the 14th day of December, 2015

J U D G M E N T

Petitioner in this OP is the petitioner in RCP 62 of 2011 filed against the first respondent for eviction. The latter pleaded 'kudikidappu' right. The matter was referred to Land Tribunal. Special revenue inspector filed a report in the Land Tribunal to the effect that the construction was made about twenty years ago and the value of construction was Rs.60,000/- (Rupees sixty thousand only) The first respondent filed IA 854 of 2014 challenging the report. The Land Tribunal dismissed it by Ext.P1 order. The first respondent has challenged it in A.A 16 of 2015 of Appellate Authority (LR), Kannur. The prayer in this OP is to direct the appellate authority to dispose it of without delay.

2. Heard.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the appeal before the appellate authority is not maintainable. It is a matter to be agitated before the appellate authority. The appellate authority should dispose

of the matter at least within one month from the date of receipt or production of a copy of this judgment.

In the result, this OP is disposed of with a direction to the appellate authority to dispose of the appeal within one month from the date of receipt or production of a copy of this judgment.

sd/-
K. ABRAHAM MATHEW
JUDGE

R.AV

//True Copy//

PA to Judge