

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.HARILAL**

FRIDAY, THE 23RD DAY OF JANUARY 2015/3RD MAGHA, 1936

OP (CAT).No. 4404 of 2013 (Z)

**AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT IN OA 853/2012 of CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE
TRIBUNAL,ERNAKULAM BENCH DATED 13-03-2013**

PETITIONER(S):

1. THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POST OFFICES,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM NORTH POSTAL DIVISION,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.
2. THE CHIEF POST MASTER GENERAL,
KERALA CIRCLE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 033.
3. UNION OF INDIA,
REPRESENTED BY THE DIRECTOR GENERAL & SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF POSTS, DAK BHAVAN,
NEW DELHI - 110 001.

BY ADV. SRI.N.NAGARESH, ASG OF INDIA

RESPONDENT(S):

**RAJASEKHARAN NAIR. K,
MULTI TASKING STAFF, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM GPO,
R/O KRISHNA BHAVAN, S-76 SHEEVELI NAGAR,
KAITHAMUKKU, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 024.**

R BY SRI.VISHNU S.CHEMPAZHANTHIYIL

**THIS OP (CAT) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 23-01-2015, ALONG
WITH O.P.(CAT).NO.192/2014. THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED
THE FOLLOWING:**

DG

OP (CAT).No. 4404 of 2013 (Z)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

- EXT.P1: COPY OF O.A.NO.853 OF 2012 DATED 08.09.2012 FILED BEFORE THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, ERNAKULAM BENCH.
- EXT.P2: COPY OF THE REPLY STATEMENT IN O.A.NO.853 OF 2012 DATED 06.11.2012.
- EXT.P3: COPY OF THE REJOINDER IN O.A.NO.853 OF 2012 DATED 03.03.2013.
- EXT.P4: COPY OF THE ORDER PASSED BY THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, ERNAKULAM BENCH IN O.A.NO.853 OF 2012 DATED 13.03.2013.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS - NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A TO JUDGE

**THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN &
K.HARILAL, JJ.**

O.P.(CAT).No.4404 of 2013
&
O.P.(CAT).No.192 of 2014

Dated this the 23rd day of January, 2015

J U D G M E N T

Thottathil B.Radhakrishnan, J.

Issues raised herein are covered by the judgment in O.P.(CAT). No.3033 of 2013 and connected cases. That verdict was handed down following an earlier case, wherein this Court affirmed the findings of the Tribunal. The Special Leave Petition against that case was also dismissed, as noted in the judgment in O.P.(CAT).No.3033 of 2013 and connected cases. Having considered the matter, we see no ground to take a view different from the view which followed in O.P.(CAT).No.3033 of 2013 and connected cases. These original petitions are dismissed.

Sd/-
(THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN, JUDGE)

Sd/-
(K.HARILAL, JUDGE)

//TRUE COPY//

P.A TO JUDGE