

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.BHAVADASAN

FRIDAY, THE 10TH DAY OF APRIL 2015/20TH CHAITHRA, 1937

OP(C).No. 1080 of 2015 (O)

(Against the order dtd.31.5.14 in I.A.3336/2013 in A.S.105/2013 of Sub
Court, Tirur which arises from the judgment in O.S.169/2012 of Munsiff
Court, Tirur)

PETITIONER/1st RESPONDENT/1st DEFENDANT:

AYISHA MANCHERIKUNNATH, AGED 45 YEARS,
W/O. SAIDALIKUTTY,
KERALADEESWARAPURAM AMSOM, DESOM,
TIRUR TALUK, TANALUR VILLAGE, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.RAJIT

RESPONDENTS/APPELLANTS & RESPONDENTS 2 & 3/PLAINTIFFS &
DEFENDANTS 1 & 2 :

1. MOIDEEN KIZHAKKATH, AGED 55 YEARS,
S/O. CHEKKALI, KERALADEESWARAPURAM AMSOM,
DESOM, TIRUR TALUK, TANALUR VILLAGE,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT - 686 502.
2. KOOLIKKATTIL SATHEESAN, AGED 36 YEARS,
S/O. NARAYANAN, KERALADEESWARAPURAM AMSOM,
DESOM, TIRUR TALUK, TANALUR VILLAGE,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT - 686 502.
3. BHAGAVATHIYATT KUNNATH LIGESH, AGED 27 YEARS,
KERALADEESWARAPURAM AMSOM, DESOM, TIRUR TALUK,
TANALUR VILLAGE, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT - 686 502.
4. ALIYA PARAMBIL SAJINI @ MANI, W/O. MURKESAN,
KERALADEESWARAPURAM AMSOM,
DESOM, TIRUR TALUK, TANALUR VILLAGE,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT - 686 502.

THIS OP (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
10-04-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

A P P E N D I X

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

- EXT. P1 : COPY OF JUDGMENT DTD.31.10.13 IN O.S.169/12 OF
MUNSIFF COURT, TIRUR.
- EXT. P2 : COPY OF COUNTER STATEMENT DTD.17.2.14 IN
I.A.3336/2013 IN A.S.105/2013 BEFORE SUB COURT,
TIRUR.
- EXT. P3 : COPY OF ORDER DTD.31.3.14 IN O.P.C.935/2014.
- EXT. P4 : COPY OF ORDER DTD.31.5.14 IN I.A.3336/2013 IN
A.S.105/2013 OF SUB COURT, TIRUR.
- EXT. P5 : COPY OF REPORT SUBMITTED BY THE VILLAGE OFFICER
DTD.2.8.14 BEFORE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER,
TIRUR.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS: NIL.

// True Copy //

P.A. to Judge.

smp

P.BHAVADASAN, J.

Original Petition (Civil) No.1080 OF 2015

Dated this the 10th day of April, 2015.

J U D G M E N T

Today when the matter is taken up for hearing, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner pointed out that all that the petitioner now requires is a direction to dispose of A.S.No.105/2013 pending before Sub Court, Tirur.

2. In the nature of the order that is proposed to be passed, it is felt that notice to the respondents is unnecessary since no right of the respondents is affected.

This original petition is disposed of directing the Sub Court, Tirur to take up A.S.No.105/2013 pending before it and dispose it of in accordance with law, after hearing both parties, as expeditiously as possible.

Sd/-

**P.BHAVADASAN
JUDGE**

smp