

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR  
&  
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.P.JYOTHINDRANATH**

**MONDAY, THE 10TH DAY OF AUGUST 2015/19TH SRAVANA, 1937**

**LA.App..No. 100 of 2013 ()**  
-----

**IN LAR 16/2010 of SUB COURT,THODUPUZHA DATED 17-08-2012**  
-----

**APPELLANT(S)/RESPONDENTS:**  
-----

- 1. STATE OF KERALA  
REPRESENTED BY THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, IDUKKI.**
- 2. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
P.W.D. ROADS DIVISION, IDUKKI.**

**BY ADV. GOVERNMENT PLEADER**

**RESPONDENT(S)/CLAIMANTS:**  
-----

- 1. SRI.THOMAS  
S/O.MATHAI, CHERIYIL PUTHENPURAYIL, THALAMATTOM  
PIN 685584.**
- 2. SRI.JOSHY  
S/O.NARAYANAN, PUTHENPURAYIL (H), THALAMATTOM  
PIN 685584.**
- 3. SMT.JAYASREE  
W/O.JOSHY, PUTHENPURAYIL, THALAMATTOM  
PIN 685584.**
- 4. THE VICAR  
ST.MICHALES CHURCH, THALAMATTOM, PIN 685584.**

**R1 BY ADV. SRI.K.K.CHANDRAN PILLAI (SR.)  
R1 BY ADV. SRI.THOMAS JAMES MUNDACKAL  
R1 BY ADV. SMT.K.V.SHENU  
R4 BY ADV. SRI.MATHEW JOHN (K)  
R4 BY ADV. SRI.DOMSON J.VATTAKUZH**

**THIS LAND ACQUISITION APPEAL HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON  
10-08-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

**VS**

**T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, &  
K.P.JYOTHINDRANATH, JJ.**

-----  
**L.A.A.No.100 of 2013**  
-----

Dated this the 10<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2015

**JUDGMENT**

T.R.Ramachandran Nair, J.

This appeal is from the judgment and decree in LAR.No.16/2010 of the Sub court, Thodupuzha. The State has come up in appeal against the grant of enhancement of land value. As per the impugned judgment, the claimant was allowed enhanced land value at the rate of Rs.1,16,600/- per Are for a total extent of 0.0020 Hectors (0.2 Are).

2. We have heard the learned counsel for both sides.

3. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the claimant that the claimant had filed a separate appeal as L.A.A.No.717/2013 claiming further enhancement. This Court has already allowed the appeal and the land value has been refixed as Rs.3,10,000/- per Are. The property

herein was included in group III category. We have verified the same and in the light of the said submission, the appeal do not deserve any merit.

Accordingly this appeal is dismissed. Parties will bear their costs in the appeal.

Sd/-  
**T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR,**  
**JUDGE**

Sd/-  
**K.P.JYOTHINDRANATH,**  
**JUDGE**

VS

/TRUE COPY/

PA TO JUDGE