

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL THOMAS

MONDAY, THE 6TH DAY OF JULY 2015/15TH ASHADHA, 1937

FAO.No. 360 of 2013 ()

AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT IN I.A.NO.602 OF 2013 IN OS
608/2013 of SUB COURT, CHAVAKKAD DATED 27-09-2013

APPELLANT(S)/PETITIONER (PLAINTIFF IN THE SUIT):

SHAHUL HAMEED, AGED 64 YEARS
S/O. HYDROSEKUTTY, AMBALATHUVEETIL THARAYIL HOUSE
PERUMPILAVIL ROAD, GURUVAYOOR P.O
THRISSUR DISTRICT 680 101.

BY ADVS.SRI.K.RAMACHANDRAN
SRI.P.RAMACHANDRAN

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENT (IST DEFENDANT IN THE SUIT):

ANILKUMAR,, AGED 41 YEARS
S/O MAKKAN KOCHUNNI, MARUTHAYOOR DESOM
PAVARATTY AMSOM, PAVARATTY P.O,
CHAVAKKAD TALUK, PIN - 680 507.

THIS FIRST APPEAL FROM ORDERS HAVING BEEN FINALLY
HEARD ON 06-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN &
SUNIL THOMAS, JJ.

.....
FAO No.360 of 2013
.....

Dated this the 6th day of July, 2015.

J U D G M E N T

Thottathil B.Radhakrishnan, J.

- 1.This appeal is against an order dismissing an application for attachment before judgment.
- 2.Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

After arguing the matter for quite some time on the basis of the materials, the learned counsel for the appellant submitted that in the case in hand, there may be an order for expeditious disposal of the suit. We see that there is no attachment since the institution of the application before the court below some time in 2013. We, therefore, are of the view that it is just and reasonable that the suit is disposed of as early as possible, untrammelled by any observation made in the order impugned in this appeal. It is so ordered. This appeal is ordered accordingly.

(THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN, JUDGE)

(SUNIL THOMAS, JUDGE)