

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.UBAID

TUESDAY, THE 14TH DAY OF JULY 2015/23RD ASHADHA, 1937

Crl.Rev.Pet.No. 380 of 2015

IN S.C 1317/2008 SESSIONS COURT, THRISSUR DATED 24-01-2015

IN CP 103/2008 of J.M.F.C., IRINJALAKUDA

REVISION PETITIONER:

PRADOSH, AGED 28 YEAR,S
S/O.SEKHARAN, PURAYATTUPARAMBIL HOUSE,
PUTHENTHODE,
KARUVANNUR, PORATHUSSERY VILLAGE,
THRISSUR 680711

BY ADVS.SRI.SANTHOSH P.PODUVAL
SMT.R.RAJITHA

RESPONDENT:

C.I. OF POLICE, IRINJALAKUDA POLICE STATION,
IRINJALAKUDA 680121 THROUGH THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT OF KERALA,
ERNAKULAM, KOCHI 682031

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SMT.S.HYMA

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 14-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:

ab

P.UBAID, J.

Crl.R.P No.380 of 2015

Dated this the 14th day of July, 2015

O R D E R

The petitioner herein is the 2nd accused in S.C No.1317/2008 of the Court of Session, Thrissur. The offences alleged against the petitioner are under Sections 366A and Section 376 r/w 511 of the Indian Penal Code. The allegation of attempted rape is seen made only against the 1st accused. The definite allegation against the petitioner herein is only under Section 366A IPC. Pending the proceeding he made a request before the trial court to discharge him. His request was dismissed by the learned trial judge. But the learned judge decided to frame charge against the 2nd accused by order dated 24.1.2015. The said order is under challenge in this revision. After disposal of the application for discharge the petitioner herein and the victim of offence came to terms amicably, and accordingly approached this Court to quash the prosecution as against the petitioner herein. The prosecution as against the petitioner (2nd accused) stands quashed by this Court as per

order in Crl.M.C No.3902/2015. In such a situation, this revision is need not proceed.

This revision petition is accordingly closed.

**P.UBAID
JUDGE**

ab