

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.BHAVADASAN

TUESDAY, THE 24TH DAY OF MARCH 2015/3RD CHAITHRA, 1937

CRP.No. 630 of 2014 ()

**AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 04.08.2014 IN I.A. 1011/2014 IN OS 510/2000 of FIRST
ADDITIONAL SUB COURT, THRISSUR**

**REVISION PETITIONER(S)/REVISION PETITIONR/2ND RESPONDENT//2ND
DEFENDANT:**

**SUMA MOHAN, AGED 64 YEARS,
KANDAMPULLY HOUSE NO 2, ANUGRAHA NAGAR, KOORKKANCHERY
THRISSUR 680 007**

BY ADV. SRI.K.S.BHARATHAN

**RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENTS/PETITIONER AND RESPONDENTS 1,3 TO 5/1ST
PLAINTIFF DEFENDANTS 1,3 AND 4 AND 2ND PLAINTIFF:**

- 1. SANTOSH KUMAR
S/O.SUKUMARAN, KANDAMPULLY HOUSE, PLOT NUMBER 237
VTH STREET, NEHRU NAGAR, KURIACHIRA
THRISSUR 680 006**
- 2. SHOBHA PRABHAKARAN,
W/O.C. PRABHAKARAN, BANGCOCK, GOLF LINK ROAD
CHEVAYUR, KOZHIKODE 673 017**
- 3. SWAPNA SURESH CHANDRAN
W/O. DR.SURESH CHANDRAN, THARAYIL HOUSE, VYTTILA
COCHIN 682 019**
- 4. SANDHYA PREM RAJ
W/O.DR M.K PREM RAJ, SARANGH, NEAR THALKE HIGH SCHOOL
THALAPU, KANNUR 670 002**
- 5. SAJAN SUKUMARAN
S/O.DR SUKUMARAN, KANDAMPULLY HOUSE
LA-HEAVEN APARTMENTS, KARATTU ROAD, WEST NADAKKAVU
KOZHIKOD 673 011**

**R1,R3,R4,R5 BY ADV. SRI.P.SANTHOSH (PODUVAL)
R1,R3,R4,R 5 BY ADV. SRI.K.D.SREEVISAKH
R 3 & R4 BY ADV. SMT.R.RAJITHA
R2 BY ADV. SRI.SRINATH GIRISH**

**THIS CIVIL REVISION PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
24-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

P.BHAVADASAN, J.

C.R.P. No. 630 of 2014

Dated this the 24th day of March, 2015

ORDER

This Civil Revision Petition can be disposed of on a very short ground.

2. An appeal to the preliminary decree was disposed of by recording the compromise which was earlier entered into by the parties. The R.F.A. was disposed of as follows:

“In the result, this appeal is disposed of modifying the judgment and decree of the court of the First Additional Subordinate Judge of Thrissur, in terms of paragraphs 10 and 11 of the memorandum of understanding dated 21.02.2008. A copy of memorandum of understanding dated 21.2.2008 shall be appended to this judgment.”

3. This C.R.P. is against the final decree proceedings. Now that the matter has been set at rest by the judgment in R.F.A. No. 328/2007, it is necessary to work out the compromise which has been reduced to a decree by this

Court.

This petition is disposed of directing that final decree proceedings shall continue in terms of the compromise entered into between the parties and recorded in R.F.A.No. 328/2007.

Sd/-
P.BHAVADASAN
JUDGE

ds

//True copy//

P.A. to Judge