

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE B.SUDHEENDRA KUMAR

WEDNESDAY, THE 23RD DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/1ST ASWINA, 1937

Crl.Rev.Pet.No. 181 of 2015 ()

AGAINST THE ORDER IN CMP NO.6838/2014 IN ST 3299/2011 of JUDICIAL
FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE'S COURT-I, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, DATED 27-10-2014

REVISION PETITIONER/APPELLANT/ACCUSED:

V.V.LEKSHMI AGED 38 YEARS
MITHILA, T.C.NO.14/96, HPA-15
THIRUVICKAL P.O.THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.S.CHANDRASEKHARAN NAIR

RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS/COMPLAINANT & STATE:

1. RAJA RETNAM, S/O.THOMSON
K.P.II-118, JAGATHY VEEDU, KINAVOOR WARD
KUDAPPANAKUNNU P.O, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695003
2. STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM.

R1 BY ADV. SRI.M.SREEKUMAR

R2 BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.V.S.SREEJITH

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 23-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

B.SUDHEENDRA KUMAR, J.

Crl.R.P. No.181 of 2015

Dated this the 23rd day of September, 2015

ORDER

When the matter has been taken up for hearing, the learned counsel for the revision petitioner has submitted that he may be permitted to withdraw this revision petition. The order challenged in this revision petition is an order passed by the court below under Section 311 of Cr.PC. Therefore, this revision petition is not maintainable in view of the decision of the Apex Court in **Sethuraman v. Rajamanickam (2009 KHC 364 SC: 2009(5)SCC153)**.

In the result, this revision petition stands dismissed as not maintainable. However, the dismissal of this revision petition will not be a bar for the revision petitioner from seeking all remedies available to her under law.

Sd/-
B.SUDHEENDRA KUMAR
JUDGE

//TRUE COPY//

P.A.TO JUDGE

sm/