

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.K.MOHANAN

THURSDAY, THE 19TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/30TH MAGHA, 1936

Crl.Rev.Pet.No. 1341 of 2010 ()

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN CRA 456/2008 of II ADDL.SESIONS COURT,
KOLLAM DATED 11-02-2010

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN ST 815/2005 of J.M.F.C.-II,
KOLLAM DATED 29-08-2008

REVISION PETITIONER(S)/APPELLANT/ACCUSED:

SURITHA VINAYAN,
ARADHANA, DHAVAKLAKUZHY, MAYYANAD P.O.
KOTTIYAM, KOLLAM.

BY ADV. SRI.BIJU C. ABRAHAM

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT & STATE:

-
1. JAYAKUMAR, S/O BALAKRISHNA PILLAI,
GEETHA BHAVAN, MAYYANADU P.O., KOLLAM.
 2. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM.

BY ADV. SRI.PEARSON S.FERNANDEZ
ADV. SRI.SUKUL KHADER
BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.JOBY JOSEPH

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 19-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

ami/

V.K.MOHANAN, J.

Crl.R.P.No.1341 of 2010

Dated this the 19th day of February, 2015.

O R D E R

Sri.Renju B L, the learned counsel appearing for the revision petitioner submitted that though two registered letters had been sent to the revision petitioner, no reply is being received so far and as such, the learned counsel has no instruction to proceed with the above matter, which was filed against the concurrent findings of the court below. The above submission is recorded.

In the light of the above submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the above revision petition is dismissed for non prosecution.

Sd/-
V.K.MOHANAN,
Judge.

ami/

//True copy//

P.A.to Judge