

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.RAMAKRISHNAN

THURSDAY, THE 19TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/30TH MAGHA, 1936

Crl.Rev.Pet.No. 90 of 2015 ()

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN CC 1170/2008 of J.M.F.C.- II,
HOSDRUG, DATED 30-08-2014

REVISION PETITIONER(S)/COMPLAINANT:

P.V. LEELA,
W/O.BALAKRISHNAN, R/AT MALIYAMKAL HOUSE, ALAKODE,
PANAYAL VILLAGE, P.O.PAKKAM, HOSDURG TALUK,
KASARAGOD DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.KODOTH SRIDHARAN

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENTS:

-
1. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM - 682 031.
 2. KUNHIRAMAN,
S/O.RAMAN MANIYANI, ALAKKODE NANDICHAL,
PANAYAL VILLAGE, P.O.PAKKAM, HOSDURG TALUK,
KASARAGOD DISTRICT - 671 121.

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI. N. SURESH

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
19-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

SS

K. RAMAKRISHNAN, J.

Crl.R.P.No.90 of 2015

Dated this the 19th day of February, 2015

ORDER

When the revision came up for hearing today, the counsel for the revision petitioner submitted that he may be permitted to withdraw this revision with liberty to file appeal before the Sessions Court as provided under proviso to Section 372 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. The above submission is recorded and the revision petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the above liberty. Office is directed to return the certified copy of the order of the court below produced to the counsel for the revision petitioner on getting necessary acknowledgment as per rules.

The Sessions Court may consider the delay in filing the appeal, taking into account the period lapsed by filing the revision before this court, while considering the delay condonation application.

Sd/-

K. RAMAKRISHNAN, JUDGE

// True Copy //

P.A. to Judge