

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.UBAID

FRIDAY, THE 16TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/26TH POUSHA, 1936

CrI.MC.No. 7469 of 2014 ()

**IN SC 398/2010 of ADDL. DISTRICT COURT, MANJERI
CRIME NO. 438/1999 OF TANUR POLICE STATION , MALAPPURAM**

PETITIONER(S)/PETITIONER/ACCUSED NO.7:

**MOHAMMED HANEEFA AGED 38 YEARS
S/O IMBICHI BAVA, CHERIAKATH HOUSE, THANUR P.O.
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.S.RAJEEV
SRI.K.K.DHEERENDRA KRISHNAN**

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENT/STATE:

**STATE OF KERALA
REP. BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA
ERNAKULAM-682031 (CIRM ENO.438/1999 OF THANUR POLICE STATION
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.**

R BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SMT. P. MAYA

**THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 16-01-2015, THE
COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

Crl.MC.No. 7469 of 2014 ()

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

ANNEXURE I: COPY OF THE PETITION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE COURT OF SESSIONS MANJERI.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: NIL

/TRUE COPY/

PA TO JUDGE

sab

P.UBAID, J.

Crl. M.C No. 7469 of 2014

Dated this the 16th day of January, 2015.

O R D E R

The prayer in this proceeding brought under Section 482 Cr.P.C by the accused No.7 in S.C No.398/2010 before the first Additional Sessions Judge, Manjeri is essentially to direct adjournment of the trial, and the reason stated is that the petitioner wants sufficient time to engage a counsel of his choice. Now there is a report from the learned trial Judge that the trial stands adjourned to 19.1.2015. This report also shows that summons will have to be ordered to the witness afresh on 19.1.2015. The petitioner will thus get sufficient time to engage a counsel of his choice. In the above circumstances, this Crl.M.C need not proceed. It is accordingly closed.

P.UBAID,
JUDGE