

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE B.KEMAL PASHA

FRIDAY, THE 5TH DAY OF JUNE 2015/15TH JYASHTA, 1937

CRP.No. 289 of 2015

ORDER DATED 06-03-2015 IN CMA 33/2012 OF ADDITIONAL DISTRICT COURT, TIRUR
.....

REVISION PETITIONER(S)/APPELLANT:

**KAMMADKUTTY,
S/O.PERINCHEERIMATTIL ABOOBACKER HAJI,
THENHIPPALAM AMSOM DESOM,
TIRURANGADI TALUK, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. SRI.JAMSHEED HAFIZ

RESPONDENT(S):

**SHAHARBAN,
D/O.PERINCHEERIMATTIL ABOOBECKER HAJI,
THENHIPPALAM AMSOM DESOM,
THENHIPPALAM (P.O.), PIN - 676 306,
TIRURANGADI TALUK, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.**

**THIS CIVIL REVISION PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
05-06-2015 ALONG WITH CRP.NO.290/2015 AND CONNECTED CASES,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

msv/

B.KEMAL PASHA, J.

.....
C.R.P. Nos.289, 290, 296 & 297 of 2015
.....

Dated this the 5th day of June, 2015

ORDER

All these CRPs are filed challenging the judgments passed in CMA Nos.33, 34, 31 and 32 of 2012 respectively of the Additional District Court, Tirur. All those CMAs were allowed in favour of the petitioner, by ordering payment of costs. That part of the judgment, by which cost is imposed, is under challenge.

2. Heard learned counsel for the revision petitioners. On hearing the learned counsel for the petitioners and after perusing the judgments and records, this Court does not find anything to interfere with the matter. It seems that the time prescribed for the payment of cost is over. The petitioners shall pay the cost as ordered by the

CRP Nos.289 of 2015 & con. cases

-: 2 :-

appellate court in the judgment of the CMAs, within 15 days from today. In such case, it will be treated as payment within the prescribed time.

In the result, all the CRPs are disposed of as above.

Sd/- B.KEMAL PASHA, JUDGE

ul/-

[True copy]

P.S. to Judge