

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.BHAVADASAN**

**WEDNESDAY, THE 21ST DAY OF JANUARY 2015/1ST MAGHA, 1936**

**CRP(LR).No. 327 of 2013**  
-----

**AA 31/2012 of A.A.(LR),THRISSUR, DATED 17/5/13**  
**SM.383/2008 OF LAND TRIBUNAL OTTAPALAM, DATED 25/5/12**  
-----

**PETITIONER(S)/PETITIONERS:**  
-----

1. SAROJINI, D/O.APPU, THACHAMADA,  
ETHANUR, CHITTUR , PALAKKAD.
2. VALSALA, D/O.APPU, THACHAMADA, ETHANUR  
CHITTUR , PALAKKAD.
3. SUBADRA, D/O.APPU, THACHAMADA, ETHANUR  
CHITTUR , PALAKKAD.
4. PANKAJAM, D/O.APPU, THACHAMADA, ETHANUR  
CHITTUR , PALAKKAD.
5. SANTHAKUMARI, D/O.APPU, THACHAMADA, ETHANUR  
CHITTUR , PALAKKAD.

**BY ADVS.SRI.K.MOHANAKANNAN**  
**SMT.A.R.PRAVITHA**

**RESPONDENT(S)/APPELLANT/RESPONDENTS:**  
-----

1. LAND TRIBUNAL, OTTAPALAM.
2. APPELLATE AUTHORITY(LR), THRISSUR.
3. SIVARAMAN, S/O.MADHAVAN, THACHAMADA, ETHANUR  
CHITTUR , PALAKKAD-678502.

**R1,R2 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.REJI JOSEPH**

**R3 BY ADVS. SRI.P.B.KRISHNAN**  
**SMT.GEETHA P.MENON**  
**SRI.N.AJITH**  
**SRI.P.M.NEELAKANDAN**  
**SRI.P.B.SUBRAMANYAN**

**THIS CRP (LAND REFORMS ACT) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD**  
**ON 21-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

**APPENDIX**

**PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS**  
-----

**NIL.**

**RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS**  
-----

**EXT.R2(A): COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 7/6/91 OF LEARNED SUB COURT,  
PALAKKAD IN AS.9/86.**

**EXT.R2(B): COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 17/10/2003 IN AS.877/91**

**EXT.R2(C): COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS NO.S.M.383/08**

**EXT.R2(D): COPY OF THE OFFICE PROCEEDINGS NO.A.A.31/12 DATED 17/5/13.**

**/ TRUE COPY /**

**P.S. TO JUDGE**

**PJ**

**P.BHAVADASAN, J.**

-----  
**Civil Revision Petition (L.R) No.327 OF 2013**  
-----

**Dated this the 21<sup>st</sup> day of January, 2015.**

**O R D E R**

Parties have entered into a compromise and a compromise petition as I.A.No.68/2015 has been filed containing the terms.

2. After having gone through the terms of compromise, this Court finds no reason to reject the same.

3. This petition is allowed. The impugned order is set aside and the following order is passed:

"1. The 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent is not intending to proceed further with the order in AA No.31/2012 of Appellate Authority (LR) Thrissur and the parties are bound by the order of the Land Tribunal Ottapalam in SM 383/2008 dated 25.5.2012. The challenge made by the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent against the order in SM 383/2008 dated 25.5.2012 in AA 31/2012 is hereby withdrawn and the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent has no objection in allowing the CRP by setting aside the order in AA 31/2012 dated 17/5/2013.

2. The parties agree that they have no further dispute with regard to the property and all disputes are hereby settled”.

Clause No.3 in the compromise petition is recorded. The compromise petition shall form part of the order.

Sd/-

**P.BHAVADASAN  
JUDGE**

smp