

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE B.KEMAL PASHA

TUESDAY, THE 3RD DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/14TH MAGHA, 1936`

Crl.MC.No. 6995 of 2014

CRIME NO. 990/2014 OF MAYYIL POLICE STATION, KANNUR
.....

PETITIONER(S)/ACCUSED:

1. MUHAMMED MUNEER A.V., AGED 37 YEARS,
S/O. SOOPPI MAMMU, AROLI VEETIL, P.O. AROLI,
PAPPINISSERI, KANNUR DISTRICT.
2. JAMEELA A.V.,
W/O SOOPPI MAMMU, AROLI VEETIL, P.O. AROLI,
PAPPINISSERI, KANNUR DISTRICT.
3. SHAMEELA A.V.,
W/O MUHAMMED KUNHI, AROLI VEETIL, P.O. AROLI,
PAPPINISSERI, KANNUR DISTRICT.
4. MOIDU A.V.,
S/O SOOPPI MAMMU, AROLI VEETIL, P.O. AROLI,
PAPPINISSERI, KANNUR DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.CIBI THOMAS

RESPONDENT(S)/DEFACTO COMPLAINANT/STATE:

FAREEDA P.P., AGED 24 YEARS,
D/O. MUHAMMED, PUTHENPEEDIKAYIL HOUSE, P.O. CHELERI,
NOONHERI, KANNUR DISTRICT - 670 604.

R1 BY ADV. SRI.K.PRAVEEN KUMAR

THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
03-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

msv/

Crl.MC.No. 6995 of 2014

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' ANNEXURES:

**ANNEXURE I: TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT BEFORE THE JFCM COURT II,
KANNUR SUBMITTED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT.**

**ANNEXURE II: TRUE COPY OF THE F.I.R. IN CRIME NO.990/2014 OF MAYYIL POLICE
STATION.**

ANNEXURE III: TRUE COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT SWORN IN BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENT(S)' ANNEXURES:

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S.TO JUDGE

Msv/

B.KEMAL PASHA, J.

.....
CRL. M.C. No.6995 of 2014
.....

Dated this the 3rd day of February, 2015

ORDER

Petitioners are A1 to A4 in Crime No.990/14 of the Mayyil Police Station, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 498A read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

2. Petitioners have come up with this CrI.M.C. under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for getting Annexure-II FIR in Crime No No.990/14 of the Mayyil Police Station, and all further proceedings based on it, quashed.

3. The allegation against the petitioners is that they have tortured and harassed the defacto complainant, who is the wife of the 1st petitioner, and treated her with cruelty within the meaning of Section 498A IPC, by demanding

more dowry.

4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned counsel for the defacto complainant, who is the 1st respondent herein, and the learned Public Prosecutor.

5. According to the petitioners, all the matters in dispute between the petitioners and the defacto complainant have been amicably settled and presently the defacto complainant has no complaints against the petitioners and, therefore, the proceedings against the petitioners may be quashed.

6. The defacto complainant, who is the 1st respondent herein, has filed an affidavit affirming that all the matters in dispute between her and the petitioners have been amicably settled and, therefore, she has no complaints against the petitioners, and, hence, all further proceedings in the matter referred to above can be quashed. She has entered appearance through her counsel. The learned counsel for the defacto complainant also endorses the fact

that the affidavit has been sworn in by the defacto complainant on her own volition.

7. When all the matrimonial disputes have been settled between the parties and as the defacto complainant has no complaints against the petitioners, it is only just and expedient in the interest of justice to quash the proceedings referred to above.

In the result, this CrI.M.C. is allowed and Annexure-II FIR in Crime No No.990/14 of the Mayyil Police Station, and all further proceedings based on it, are hereby quashed.

Sd/- B.KEMAL PASHA, JUDGE

ul/-

[True copy]

P.S. to Judge