

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE B.KEMAL PASHA

THURSDAY, THE 20TH DAY OF AUGUST 2015/29TH SRAVANA, 1937

CRP.No. 396 of 2013 ()

ORDER IN EP.80/2010 IN OS.224/1990 OF ADDITIONAL MUNSIF, NEDUMANGAD

REVISION PETITIONER(S):

APPU @ DEVADAS
CHARUVILA PUTHEN VEEDU
POOCHAKUNNU, VAMANAPURAM

BY ADVS.SRI.C.SATHYARAJ
SRI.JIJO PAUL KALLOKKARAN

RESPONDENT(S):

1. SADASIVAN NADAR
VALIYAVILAKTHU PUTHENVEEDU
MITHRUMALA, ANAKUDI MURI
VAMANAPURAM VILLAGE,
NEDUMANGAD

2. DEVADASAN, S/O.DAMODHARN NADAR
RESIDING AT VALIYAVILAKTHU PUTHENVEEDU,
MITHRUMALA, ANAKUDI MURI
VAMANAPURAM VILLAGE,
NEDUMANGAD

3. MURALEEDHARAN, S/O.DAMODHARN NADAR
RESIDING AT VALIYAVILAKTHU PUTHENVEEDU,
MITHRUMALA, ANAKUDI MURI
VAMANAPURAM VILLAGE,
NEDUMANGAD

4. CHELLAMMA, D/O.ALIYAMMA
POOCHAKUNNU CHARUVILA VEEDU
ANAKUDI MURI, VAMANAPURAM VILLAGE (DIED)

5. LEELA, D/O.LATE CHELLAMMA
JASMIN COTTAGE KARETTE
PULIMATHU VILLAGE, CHIRAYINKEEZHU

6. KAMALAMMA, D/O.LATE CHELLAMMA
THADATHARIKATHU VEEDU, KUSARCODE
POOVATHOOR, NEDUMANGAD (DIED)

7. RAJAMMA, D/O.LATE CHELLAMMA
CHARUVILA PUTHENVEEDU

PPOCHAKUNNU, VAMANAPURAM

8. YESHAEU
THADATHARIKATHU VEEDU, KUSARCODE
POOVATHOOR, NEDUMANGAD

9. FREEDA SUJA, D/O.KAMALAMMA
THADATHARIKATHU VEEDU, KUSARCODE
POOVATHOOR, NEDUMANGAD

10. JUSTIN RAJ, S/O.KAMALAMMA
THADATHARIKATHU VEEDU, KUSARCODE
POOVATHOOR, NEDUMANGAD

11. PREETHA JUSLATE, D/O.KAMALAMMA
THADATHARIKATHU VEEDU, KUSARCODE
POOVATHOOR, NEDUMANGAD

R1 BY ADV. SMT.M.A.ZOHRA

THIS CIVIL REVISION PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
20-08-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

CRP.396/2013

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS: NIL

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS:

R1(A) TRUE COPY OF THE DECREE IN OS.224/90 OF ADDL.MUNSIFF, NEDUMANGAD

R1(B) TRUE COPY OF THE DELIVERY PROCEEDINGS AND THE DELIVERY REPORT.

R1(C) TRUE COPY OF THE ORDERS IN SLP.7751/11 DTD 18.1.13.

// True Copy //

PA to Judge

B. KEMAL PASHA, J.

.....
C.R.P. No.396 of 2013
.....

Dated this the 20th day of August, 2015

ORDER

~ ~ ~ ~ ~

No representation for the petitioner. Last time also, there was no representation. This Court has heard the learned counsel for the respondents *in extenso*. On hearing the learned counsel for the respondents, it has come out that there is no merit in the CRP. The claim raised by the present petitioner before the court below is absolutely without any *bonafides*. The impugned order does not suffer from any illegality, irregularity or impropriety, and the same is not liable to be interfered with. This C.R.P. fails and it is dismissed.

Sd/-
(B.KEMAL PASHA, JUDGE)

aks/20/08

// True Copy //

PA to Judge