

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.HARIPRASAD

WEDNESDAY, THE 14TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/24TH POUSHA, 1936

CRP No. 166 of 2011 ()

AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 27-10-2010 IN E.P NO.95/1982 IN O.S NO.339/1969 OF
THE IInd ADDITIONAL MUNSIF'S COURT, NEYYATTINKARA.

REVISION PETITIONER(S)/ADDITIONAL DECREE HOLDER NOS.4&6 TO 12:

1. C.VASANTHI DEVI, RESIDING AT HOUSE NO.
T.C.24/963, MINCHI ROAD, THYCAUD,
VAZHUTHACAUD, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - PIN 695 001.
2. BINDU RADHAKRISHNAN, VRINDAVAN VEEDU,
T.C.26/528, SECRETARIAT WARD, VANCHIYOOR,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - PIN 695 001.
3. INDU SARMA -DO- -DO- -DO-
4. SINDHU BHOOSHAN, -DO- -DO- -DO-
5. M.VIJAYALEKSHMI, T.C.NO.28/408(4),
ASHAYANA, VILAYIL GARDENS, KAITHAMUKKU.
6. V.GEETHA, -DO- -DO- -DO-
7. V.SREELEKHA, -DO- -DO-
8. V.MAHALEKSHMI,-DO- -DO-

(PETITIONERS 1 TO 8 ARE REPRESENTED BY
THEIR POWER OF ATTORNEY HOLDER
SRI.B.RADHAKRISHNAN, S/O.M.BHASKARA PILLAI,
AGED 49 YEARS, GOKULAM, 4/450 K,
KENNEDYMUUKU, EDAPPALLY P.O., KOCHI- 682 024).

BY ADVS.SRI.M.M.ABDUL AZIZ (SR.)
SRI.M.A.ABDUL HAKHIM
SRI.M.G.ANON.

RESPONDENT(S)/JUDGMENT DEBTORS NOS.4 TO 17:

1. KRISHNA PILLAI THANKAPPAN NAIR,
PULIYARATHALAKKAL PUTHEN VEEDU,
MALAYINKEEZH DESOM,
MARUKIL VILLAGE, PIN 695 571.
2. PACHI AMMA RUGMINI AMMA, -DO- -DO-
3. PACHI AMMA PARUKUTTI AMMA, -DO- -DO-
4. PACHI AMMA DEVAKI AMMA, -DO- -DO-
5. K.RADHAMMA, VIJYAVILASOM, -DO- -DO-
6. APPUKUTTAN NAIR JAYAPALAN, -DO- -DO-
7. R.VIJAYAMMA, -DO- -DO-
8. R.CHANDRALEKHA, -DO- -DO-
9. R.SUGATHAN, -DO- -DO-
10. R.SARITHA, -DO- -DO-
11. KAMALAMMA,W/O.KRISHNA PILLAI KUTTAN NAIR, -DO- -DO-
12. KUTTAN NAIR SURENDRAN NAIR, -DO- -DO-
13. KUTTAN NAIR JAYACHANDRAN NAIR, -DO- -DO-
14. KAMALAMMA JAYANTHI, -DO- -DO-

R7,R10,R12 TO R14 BY ADVS. SRI.RAM MOHAN.G.
SRI.G.P.SHINOD
SRI.MANU V.

THIS CIVIL REVISION PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
14-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

amk

A.HARIPRASAD, J.

C.R.P No.166 of 2011

Dated this the 14th day of January, 2015.

ORDER

Learned counsel for the revision petitioner submitted that in spite of contacting the party, no instruction has been given to prosecute the case. Hence, the civil revision petition is dismissed for default.

All pending interlocutory applications will stand dismissed.

**A.HARIPRASAD,
JUDGE.**

amk