

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE B.KEMAL PASHA**

**THURSDAY, THE 29TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2015/7TH KARTHIKA, 1937**

**Crl.MC.No. 6654 of 2015 ()**  
-----

**CRIME NO. 20/2014 OF CYBER CRIME POLICE STATION, PATTOM,  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM ,**  
-----

**PETITIONER(S):**  
-----

**MAHESH A.R. AGED 30 YEARS,  
S/O.ANANTHAKRISHNAN NAIR, RESIDING AT 160-14/160,  
THOZHUKKAL, NEYYATTINKARA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695121.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.J.R.PREM NAVAZ  
SRI.P.T.SHEEJISH**

**RESPONDENT(S):**  
-----

- 1. STATE OF KERALA  
REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM.**
- 2. ABHI KRISHNAN R, AGED 28 YEARS,  
S/O.RAJENDRA PRASAD B, RESIDING AT MVRA 27,  
MADATHUVILAKAM, PEROORKADA P.O.  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 005.**

**R2 BY ADV. SRI.AJOY VENU  
R1 BY ADV. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SMT.SAREENA**

**THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON  
29-10-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

**AD**

**Crl.MC.No. 6654 of 2015 ()**  
-----

**APPENDIX**

**PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS**  
-----

**ANNEXURE A: CERTIFIED COPY OF THE FIRST INFORMATION REPORT IN CRIME  
NO.20 OF 2014 OF CYBER CRIME POLICE STATION, PATTOM,  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DATED 22.05.2014**

**ANNEXURE B: THE AFFIDAVIT SOLEMNLY AFFIRMED BY THE SECOND  
RESPONDENT/DEFACTO COMPLAINANT DATED 30.09.2015**

**RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS**  
-----

**NIL**

**//TRUE COPY//**

**P.A TO JUDGE**

**AD**

**B.KEMAL PASHA, J.**

-----  
**Crl.M.C.No.6654 of 2015**  
-----

**Dated this the 29<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2015**

**ORDER**

On a complaint by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent alleging that his website was hacked by the petitioner, Crime No. 20 of 2014 of the Cyber Crime Police Station, Thiruvananthapuram was registered against the petitioner alleging the offence punishable under Section 66 read with Section 43(a),(d) and Section 66(c) of the Information Technology Act, 2000, through Annexure-A First Information Report.

2. Presently, the matter has been amicably settled between the parties. The de facto complainant, who is the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent has filed Annexure-B affirming that, the matter has been amicably settled between him and the petitioner and presently he has no complaints or grievances against the petitioner. When the matter has been amicably settled between the parties, no purpose would be served in proceeding with the further

investigation in Crime No. 20 of 2014 of the Cyber Crime Police Station, Thiruvananthapuram. Apart from that, no criminal antecedents have been reported against the petitioner. Matters being so, Annexure-A FIR in Crime No. 20 of 2014 of the Cyber Crime Police Station, Thiruvananthapuram and all further proceedings based on it as against the petitioner, can be quashed.

In the result, this Crl.M.C is allowed and Annexure-A FIR in Crime No. 20 of 2014 of the Cyber Crime Police Station, Thiruvananthapuram and all further proceedings based on it as against the petitioner, are hereby quashed.

Sd/-  
**B.KEMAL PASHA**  
**JUDGE**

*AD/29/10/15*