

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE B.KEMAL PASHA

TUESDAY, THE 6TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2015/14TH ASWINA, 1937

CrI.MC.No. 6512 of 2015 ()

CRL.R.P. NO. 15/2015 OF SESSIONS COURT - V, KOTTAYAM DATED 18-08-2015

PETITIONER :

(REV. PETITIONER/1ST ACCUSED IN THE COURT BELOW)

**T.A.GOVIND
S/O. AMRUTHANATH, AGED 22,
THAYETH HOUSE, T.V. PURAM P.O.,
VAIKOM, KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.**

**BY ADVS.DR.V.N.SANKARJEE
SRI.V.N.MADHUSUDANAN
SMT.M.SUSEELA**

RESPONDENT(S) :

(RESPONDENTS/COMPLAINANT & 2ND ACCUSED)

- 1. THE STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM – 682 031.**
- 2. SREEKUMAR
S/O. SUDHAKARAN, ANDIKOVIL HOUSE,
MUTHEDATHUKAVU KARA
VAIKOM, KOTTAYAM – 686 141.**

R1 BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SMT. SAREENA GEORGE

**THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 06-10-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

Mn

...2/-

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' ANNEXURES :

- ANNEXURE I : COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS
MAGISTRATE -I, VAIKOM IN CC NO. 125/13 FROM 4.7.2013 TO
9.6.2015.
- ANNEXURE II COPY OF THE CRL.R.P. NO. 15/2015 DATED 1.7.2015 ON THE
FILE OF THE SESSIONS COURT, KOTTAYAM.
- ANNEXURE III COPY OF THE STAY PETITION, CRL.M.P. NO. 1209/15 DATED
1.7.2015 ON THE FILE OF THE SESSIONS COURT, KOTTAYAM.
- ANNEXURE IV COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 18.8.2015 OF THE ADDITIONAL
SESSIONS COURT-V, KOTTAYAM IN CRL.R.P. NO. 15/2015.
- ANNEXURE V COPY OF THE ORDER SHEET OF THE ADDITIONAL SESSIONS
COURT-V, KOTTAYAM IN CRL.R.P. NO.15/2015.

- RESPONDENT'S ANNEXURES : NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

Mn

B.KEMAL PASHA, J.

=====

CRL.M.C. No.6512 of 2015

=====

Dated this the 6th day of October, 2015

ORDER

On the day on which CWs 1 to 4 were present before the court below for examination, the accused were present. The learned counsel for the accused was not present for conducting the cross-examination of the witnesses. Both the accused prayed for time for making available the presence of their Lawyer. The court below has bound over the witnesses to another date by ordering a cost at the rate of ₹150/-. That is being challenged.

2. On going through the order, it seems that the order is self speaking. There is absolutely nothing to interfere with the

order passed by the learned Magistrate. Matters being so, this Crl.M.C. is devoid of merits and is only to be dismissed and I do so.

In the result, this Crl.M.C. is dismissed.

Sd/-

B. KEMAL PASHA
JUDGE

DSV/7/10/15

// True Copy //

P.A. To Judge