

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.D.RAJAN

FRIDAY, THE 31ST DAY OF JULY 2015/9TH SRAVANA, 1937

Crl.Rev.Pet.No. 822 of 2007 ()

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN CC 336/2002 of J.M.F.C.,CHAVAKKAD
DATED 28-11-2006

REVISION PETITIONER(S)/DEFACTO COMPLAINANT:

ASHRAF, S/O.HASSANAR,
ORUMANAYOOR VILLAGE, KANNIKKUTHI BRIDGE,
THRISSUR DISTRICT.

BY ADVS.SRI.RAJIT
SRI.RANJIT BABU

RESPONDENT(S)/STATE & ACCUSED:

-
1. STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT, ERNAKULAM.
 2. SATHAR, S/O.MUHAMMED, THAMARAYOOR (H)
ORUMANAYOOR VILLAGE, KANNIKUTHI.
 3. PRADEEP, S/O.KUMARAN, PEYI VEEDU,
ORUMANAYOOR VILLAGE, KANNIKUTHIPPALAM.

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR ADV. SMT. SEENA REMAKRISHNAN

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 31-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:

acd

P.D. RAJAN, J.

Crl.R.P.No.822 of 2007

Dated this the 31st day of July, 2015

ORDER

This Criminal Revision Petition is preferred by the defacto complainant against the acquittal of the accused u/s.248(1) Cr.P.C in C.C.No.336/2002 of Judicial First Class Magistrate Court, Chavakkad. The above case was charge sheeted by the Sub Inspector of Police, Chavakkad for offence punishable u/s.341, 324 r/w.34 IPC.

2. On 26.02.2007, after admitting this appeal, this Court directed the revision petitioner to take steps against 2nd and 3rd respondents. Even after specific direction, no steps have been taken so far.

3. Today, the learned counsel Adv. Vijitha,

representing for Adv. Sri. Rajit, submitted that the revision petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the matter.

Hence, this Criminal Revision Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

P.D. RAJAN, JUDGE.

acd

