

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.HARILAL

WEDNESDAY, THE 10TH DAY OF JUNE 2015/20TH JYAISHTA, 1937

Crl.Rev.Pet.No. 1311 of 2002

CRL.A 105/2000 of ADDITIONAL SESSIONS COURT, FAST TRACK COURT
NO.I, PALAKKAD

CC 259/1995 of J.M.F.C.-III, PALAKKAD

PETITIONER(S)/APPELLANT/ACCUSED:

P.P.RAMAN, S/o.KORU, THOTTATHIL HOUSE,
EDATHARA P.O., PALAKKAD.

BY ADVS.SRI.T.M.SUNIL

SMT.S.CHITHRA
SRI.ROY THOMAS (M)
SRI.P.BIJU
SRI.TOMY LUKOSE
SMT.USHA MENON
SRI.SUMITH.P.PRASAD
SRI.ARUN.C.ROY
SRI.DIPU.R
SRI.THOMAS SEBASTIAN

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENTS/COMPLAINANT:

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC
PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM.

2. M/S. OASIS CHITS AND INVESTMENTS
PALLIPURAM, PALAKKAD, REP.BY ITS MANAGER.

R1 BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.JUSTINE JACOB.

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY
HEARD ON 10-06-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:

STU

K.HARILAL, J.

=====

Crl.R.P.No.1311 of 2002

=====

Dated this the 10th day of June, 2015

ORDER

As per order dated 12.11.2012, the revision petitioner was given two weeks' time to cure the defect on condition that he shall pay cost of ₹500/- to Kerala State Mediation and Conciliation Centre, and produce receipt thereof, within one week from the date of order, failing which, this revision petition will stand dismissed for non-prosecution. But, the revision petitioner has not paid the cost. Even after that order, the petitioner was given another opportunity to cure the defect within two weeks from 25.05.2015 as last chance. There was no representation for the petitioner on 25.05.2015. The petitioner has not cured the defect within the specified time. Today also, there is no representation for the petitioner. Hence, it could be reasonably presumed that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the revision petition. Consequently, this revision petition will stand dismissed for non-prosecution.

Sd/-

K.HARILAL, JUDGE.

stu

//True copy//