

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.HARIPRASAD

TUESDAY, THE 26TH DAY OF MAY 2015/5TH JYAISHTA, 1937

CRP.No. 229 of 2012 ()

AGAINST THE ORDER IN IA NO.1383/2011 (COMMON ORDER ALONG WITH IA
NO.1384/2011) IN OS 340/2010 of MUNSIF COURT,MUVATTUPUZHA DATED 6.1.2012

REVISION PETITIONER(S)/RESPONDENTS 1 AND 2 IN IA NO.1383/2011/PLAINTIFFS IN OS
NO.340/2010:

1. MATHEW
S/O.SRI.THOMAS, AGED 51 YEARS, MANAYATHUMARIYIL HOUSE
KOTHAMANGALAM KARA, KOTHAMANGALAM VILLAGE
REPRESENTED BY HIS POWER OF ATTORNEY HOLDER
K.S.JOSE, S/O.SRI.DEVASSIA, AGED 62 YEARS
KADAVUNKAL, PUTHENPURAYIL (ARANJANIYIL) HOUSE
KOOVALLOOR KARA, POTHANICADU VILLAGE.
2. MARY, W/O.SRI.MATHEW,
AGED 49 YEARS, MANAYATHUMARIYIL HOUSE
KOTHAMANGALAM KARA, KOTHAMANGALAM VILLAGE
REPRESENTED BY HER POWER OF ATTORNEY HOLDER
K.S.JOSE, S/O.SRI.DEVASSIA, AGED 62 YEARS
KADAVUNKAL, PUTHENPURAYIL (ARANJANIYIL) HOUSE
KOOVALLOOR KARA, POTHANICADU VILLAGE.

BY ADV. SRI.P.GOPAKUMARAN NAIR

RESPONDENT(S)/PETITIONER & 3RD RESPONDENT IN IA NO.1383/2011/DEFENDANTS IN
OS NO.340/2010:

1. JACOB
S/O.SRI.VARGHESE, AGED 56 YEARS, PACHAMPARAMBIL HOUSE
NORTH MAZHUVANNUR P.O., IRAPURAM KARA
IRAPURAM VILLAGE.
2. VARGHESE, S/O.PAULOSE,
AGED 64 YEARS, MATHENMOLEL HOUSE, NELLIMATTOM KARA
KUTTAMANGALAM VILLAGE.

R1 & R2 BY ADV. SRI.T.PRAMACHANDRAN (THACHETH)

THIS CIVIL REVISION PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 26-05-2015,
ALONG WITH CRP.253/2012, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

A.HARIPRASAD, J.

C.R.P. Nos.229 & 253 of 2012

Dated this the 26th day of May, 2015

COMMON ORDER

When the matter was taken up, learned counsel for the respondents submitted that both the revision petitions have become infructuous as the lower court is now proceeding with the trial of the case. The revision petitions are filed against the orders allowing the respondents' claim to set aside the exparte decree and for condonation of delay. It is submitted by the learned counsel that the exparte decree has been set aside and now trial in the case has advanced to a considerable extent. Reckoning these facts and in the absence of any representation on the petitioners' side, both the civil revision petitions are dismissed.

A. HARIPRASAD, JUDGE.

cks