

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.D.RAJAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 22ND DAY OF JULY 2015/31ST ASHADHA, 1937

Crl.Rev.Pet.No. 219 of 2005 ()

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN CC 646/2003 of J.M.F.C.-I, THODUPUZZHA

REVISION PETITIONER(S):

**VIJAYAKUMAR, S/O. SANKARA PILLAI,
KARIMANNOOR, THODUPUZZHA.**

BY ADV. SRI.M.M.MATHEW

RESPONDENT(S):

**1. NARAYANA PILLAI, S/O. KRISHNA PILLAI,
KURUMATHU KALIPARAMBIL VEEDU,
KURUMPALAMATTAM KARA,
KARIMANNOOR VILLAGE, THODUPUZZHA.**

**2. RAJEEV, S/O. NARAYANA PILLAI,
-DO-**

**3. RADHAKRISHNAN, S/O. KRISHNA PILLAI,
-DO-**

**4. STATE OF KERALA,
REP. BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA.**

R4 BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SMT.SAREENA GEORGE

**THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 22-
07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

STK

P.D. RAJAN, J.

Crl.R.P.No.219 of 2005

Dated this the 22nd day of July, 2015

ORDER

This revision petition is preferred against the judgment of the Judicial First Class Magistrate-I, Thodupuzha for offence punishable under Section 323, 324, 326 r/w 34 IPC. Prosecution examined PW1 to PW9 and admitted Ext.P1 to P8 and MO1 and MO2 in evidence. After analysing the oral and documentary evidence, trial court acquitted the accused and against that the de-facto complainant preferred this appeal.

After filing this appeal, several directions were issued by this court to take steps against the respondents. Defects cured and delay was condoned. But no steps were taken against the respondents. Today when the revision petition came up for hearing, no steps are taken. In the circumstance, there is no merit in continuing this revision petition and it is dismissed for non-prosecution.

STK

Sd/-
P.D. RAJAN,
JUDGE

//TRUE CO PY//

P.A. TO JUDGE