

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJA VIJAYARAGHAVAN V

THURSDAY, THE 15TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2015/23RD ASWINA, 1937

Crl.Rev.Pet.No. 1003 of 2004 (A)

**AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN S.T.NO.728/2000 of JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE
COURT - II, THRISSUR, DATED 30-10-2002**

**AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN CRL.A.NO.486/2002 of III ADDITIONAL SESSIONS COURT,
(AD HOC) FAST TRACK COURT-I, THRISSUR, DATED 30-01-2004**

REVISION PETITIONER(S)/APPELLANT/ACCUSED.:

**VIJAYAN MENON, S/O.DEVAKYAMMA,
PUTHIYEDATH HOUSE, ANTHIKKAD VILLAGE, DESOM,
THRISSUR TALUK.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.P.VIJAYA BHANU
SMT.P.MAYA**

RESPONDENT(S)/COMPLAINANT & STATE.:

**1. NALINI.K.T., AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS,
W/O.NADUPARAMBIL GOPI, P.O.KANIMANGALAM,
KANIMANGALAM VILLAGE, THRISSUR TALUK,
THRISSUR DISTRICT.**

**2. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC
PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA,
ERNAKULAM.**

R2 BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SMT.MADHU BEN

**THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 15-10-
2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

Bb

RAJA VIJAYARAGHAVAN V, J.

Crl.R.P.No.1003 of 2004

Dated this the 15th day of October, 2015

O R D E R

The revision petitioner herein is the accused in S.T.No.728/2000 on the file of the Judicial First Class Magistrate Court – II, Thrissur. As per judgment dated 30.10.2002, the petitioner was found guilty of the offence under S.138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act and he was convicted and sentenced to undergo simple imprisonment for a period of 2 months and also to pay a fine of Rs.65,000/- to the complainant as compensation under S.357(3) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and in default, he was directed to undergo simple imprisonment for a further period of 2 months. The said finding of the learned magistrate was confirmed in appeal by the III Additional Sessions Judge, (Ad hoc) Fast Track Court No-I, Thrissur in Crl.Appeal.No.486/2002.

2. When the matter came up for final hearing, the learned counsel appearing for the revision petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has undergone the sentence and in the said circumstances, the revision petition is not pressed. On the basis of the said submission, the Criminal Revision petition is dismissed as not pressed.

Sd/-
**RAJA VIJAYARAGHAVAN V,
JUDGE.**

Bb

[True copy]

P.A to Judge