

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.UBAID

WEDNESDAY, THE 22ND DAY OF JULY 2015/31ST ASHADHA, 1937

Crl.MC.No. 4617 of 2015

CC NO. 1430/2015 OF JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE COURT, KADAKKAL

CRIME NO. 909/2013 OF KADAKKAL POLICE STATION , KOLLAM

PETITIONER/ACCUSED :-

- 1. SUDHEER AGED 29 YEARS,
S/O.ABDUL KALAM, SHYNI MANZIL,
KANJIRATHINMOODU, AYIRAKUZHY P.O.,
KADAKKAL VILLAGE, KOLLAM DISTRICT.**
- 2. SABEENA BEEVI, AGED 52 YEARS,
W/O.ABDUL KALAM, SHYNI MANZIL,
KANJIRATHINMOODU, AYIRAKUZHY P.O.,
KADAKKAL VILLAGE, KOLLAM DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. SRI.ALEXANDER GEORGE

RESPONDENTS/STATE/COMPLAINANT :-

- 1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA,
ERNAKULAM - 682031.**
- 2. STATION HOUSE OFFICER,
KADAKKAL POLICE STATION,
KOLLAM DISTRICT-691001.**
- 3. RESNA, AGED 23 YEARS,
D/O.FASALUDEEN, PANAYIL VEEDU,
AYIRAKUZHY P.O., MANKODU VILLAGE,
KOLLAM DISTRICT.**

**R1 BY SMT.V.H.JASMINE,PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
R2 BY ADV. SRI.D.SREEKUMAR (KALAMASSERY)**

**THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 22-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

rkj

Crl.MC.No. 4617 of 2015

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' ANNEXURES :-

ANNEXURE NO.I :- A TRUE COPY OF THE CHARGE SHEET IN C.C.NO.1430/2015.

ANNEXURE NO.I :- AFFIDAVIT FILED BY THE THIRD RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENT(S)' ANNEXURES :- NIL

rkj

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

P.UBAID, J.

=====

Crl.M.C.No.4617 of 2015

=====

Dated this the 22nd day of July, 2015

ORDER

The petitioners herein are the two accused in C.C.No.1430 of 2015 of the Judicial First Class Magistrate Court, Kadakkal. They seek orders quashing the prosecution on the ground of amicable settlement of the whole dispute between them and the de facto complainant. Crime in this case was registered under Section 498(A) and 34 of the Indian Penal Code on the complaint of one Resna, who is the third respondent in this proceeding brought under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. She has filed affidavit to the effect that she has settled the whole dispute with the accused, and she has no grievance or complaint now.

2. In so many decisions, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that even in cases involving non-compoundable offences, the High Court can quash the prosecution in pending proceedings, if the parties have really settled the whole dispute amicably out of court, and continuance of further proceedings will not serve any purpose in such a circumstance of amicable settlement. Here, I find a real and genuine case of settlement between the parties. This is not a case involving

any public interest or public issue. The parties have come to terms amicably on the intervention of persons acceptable to both sides, and I am satisfied that the parties are now on quite cordial terms. In such a situation, continuance of the prosecution will not serve any purpose other than wasting the precious time of the court. No doubt, nobody will support the prosecution in such a situation, if the case goes to trial.

3. The victim's affidavit shows that she has reunited with her husband, and that they are now leading a happy matrimony. In such a situation, it is appropriate that the prosecution be quashed.

In the result, this petition is allowed. The prosecution against the petitioners herein in C.C.No.1430 of 2015 of the Judicial First Class Magistrate Court, Kadakkal will stand quashed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Accordingly, the petitioners will stand released from prosecution, and the bail bond, if any, executed by them will stand discharged.

Sd/-
P.UBAID
JUDGE

rkj

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE