

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.ABRAHAM MATHEW

THURSDAY, THE 9TH DAY OF JULY 2015/18TH ASHADHA, 1937

Crl.MC.No. 4131 of 2015 ()

CC NO. 1469/2014 OF JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE COURT-I, RANNI,
PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT
CRIME NO. 583/2014 OF RANNI POLICE STATION, PATHANAMTHITTA

PETITIONER :

SHEEJA H.,
AGED 38 YEARS, W/O.RAFI MEERA P.M.,
RESIDING AT POTTANKAL HOUSE
CHERIVUKOLAYIL, MANDIRAM P.O., RANNI
PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT - 689 672.

BY ADVS.SRI.A.JAYASANKAR
SRI.C.V.MANUVILSAN
SRI.MANU GOVIND

RESPONDENT(S) :

- 1. THE STATE OF KERALA**
REPRESENTED THROUGH THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM - 682 031.
- 2. MR.ROSHAN MATHEW,**
AGED 39 YEARS, RESIDING AT BUILDING NO.7495,
POLICE QUARTERS, THOTTAMON P.O., RANNI,
PATHANAMTHITTA -689 673.

R1 BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI. DHANESH MATHEW MANJOORAN

THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 09-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

Mn

Crl.MC.No. 4131 of 2015 ()

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' ANNEXURES :

**ANNEXURE-A1: A TRUE COPY OF THE FIRST INFORMATION REPORT NO.583/2014
OF RANNI POLICE STATION ALONG WITH A CERTIFIED COPY OF
SITE/OCCURRENCE MAHAZAR AND FINAL REPORT IN FIR
NO.583/2014 OF RANNI POLICE STATION.**

**ANNEXURE-A2: A TRUE COPY OF COMPLAINT PREFERRED BY THE PETITIONER
BEFORE THE CHAIRMAN OF STATE POLICE COMPLAINTS
AUTHORITY DATED 6.5.2015.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

Mn

K. ABRAHAM MATHEW, J.

CRL.M.C.No.4131 of 2015

Dated this the 9th day of July, 2015

ORDER

Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

2. Petitioner is the accused in CC.No.1469/2014 on the file of the Judicial First Class Magistrate Court-I, Ranni. He is charged with having committed the offences under Sections 294(b), and 506(i) of the Indian Penal Code. He prays for quashing the proceedings in the criminal case on the ground that the material relied on by the prosecution do not disclose the commission of any offence.

3. Heard.

4. If the materials produced by the prosecution in support of the final report do not disclose the commission of any offence the Magistrate may drop the proceedings. Section 482 Cr.P.C cannot be invoked.

In the result, this Crl.M.C. is dismissed with the above observation.

**K. ABRAHAM MATHEW
JUDGE**