

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.HARILAL

THURSDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF MAY 2015/7TH JYAISHTA, 1937

Crl.Rev.Pet.No. 675 of 2003 ()

CRL.A 490/2000 of SESSIONS COURT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM
IN CC 851/1997 of J.M.F.C.,VARKALA

.....

REVISION PETITIONER(S)/APPELLANT & ACCUSED::

SIYANI, PROPRIETOR,
S.S.TRADERS, MAITHANAM, VARKALA.

BY ADV. SRI.SUMAN CHAKRAVARTHY

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENTS & COMPLAINANT::

1. N.HARIDAS,
HARI BHAVAN, MEL VETTOOR, VETTOOR VILLAGE.

2. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY ITS PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT OF KERALA.

R2 BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.JIBU P.THOMAS

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY
HEARD ON 28-05-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:

OKB

K.HARILAL, J.

Cr1.R.P. No.675 of 2003

Dated this the 28th day of May, 2015.

O R D E R

Going by the proceedings it is seen that notice issued to the first respondent has not been returned after service. Thereafter no steps had been taken to serve notice to the first respondent. The learned counsel for the revision petitioner submits that he had sent a registered notice calling for the revision petitioner to take steps to complete service against the first respondent in correct address. But he has not turned up so far. So the learned counsel is unable to take fresh steps in the correct address.

2.Having regard to the fact that this revision petition was filed in the year 2003 and no effective steps had been taken to serve notice to the first respondent so far, I find that the revision petitioner is not

interested to prosecute the matter. Consequently, this revision petition will stand dismissed for non prosecution.

Sd/-
K. HARILAL, JUDGE

okb.